Stereoselective Synthesis of 2,3-Diamino-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronates

Marthe T. C. Walvoort, Gert-Jan Moggré, Gerrit Lodder, Herman S. Overkleeft, Jeroen D. C. Codée,* and Gijsbert A. van der Marel*

Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: With the aim to find an efficient synthetic procedure for the construction of 2,3-diamino-2,3-dideoxy- β -D-mannuronic acids, we evaluated three mannosyl donors: (S)-phenyl 4,6-di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido mannopyranoside, (S)-phenyl 2,3-diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene mannopyranoside, and (S)-phenyl 2,3-diazido mannopyranosyl methyl uronate. The first two mannosylating agents are rather unselective or slightly α -selective

in their condensation with three different acceptors. The mannuronic acid donor on the other hand reliably provides the desired β -mannosidic linkage. A mechanistic rationale is put forward to account for the different behavior of the three donor types. Suitably protected 2,3-diazido mannuronic acids were employed to construct the all-cis-linked tetrasaccharide repeating unit of the capsular polysaccharide of Bacillus stearothermophilus, featuring two 2,3-diacetamido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannuronic acids.

INTRODUCTION

Consertion Chemical Society 72 american Chemical Society 7301 American Chemical Society 7301 dx. Chemical Society 7301 american Chemical Society 7301 dx. Chemical Society 7301 american Chemical Society 7301 american Chemi Functional groups on a glycosyl donor play a decisive role on the stereochemical course of its glycosylation reactions. In our studies toward the synthesis of anionic (bacterial) oligosaccharides, we have recently disclosed that glycosylations of mannuronic acid ester donors, that is, mannopyranosides of which the C-6 is oxidized to a carboxylic acid ester, such as 1 (Figure 1), proceed with a very high degree of β -selectivity.¹ Initially, we postulated that the observed β-selectivity was the result of the S_N 2-like reaction of an intermediate α -triflate (2) , in line with the seminal work of Crich and co-workers on 4,6-O-benzylidene directed β -mannosylations.² We reasoned that the C-5 carboxylic ester is sufficiently electron-withdrawing to stabilize the anomeric triflate with respect to the oxacarbenium-triflate ion pair to allow for a β -selective displacement reaction. However, we were at that time unable to spectroscopically detect a single anomeric triflate species. Examination of the activation of a series of 2-azido-2-deoxy mannuronic acid ester donors, including thiomannoside 3, revealed that an anomeric triflate was formed from these donors and that it exists as a mixture of 4C_1 and 1C_4 conformers, 4a and 4b, respectively, in which the latter species, having an equatorially positioned triflate, surprisingly prevailed.³ Although these studies showed the intermediacy of an anomeric triflate species, the fact that this triflate species prefers to adopt an "inverted" chair conformation lends support to an alternative mechanistic rationale for the β -selectivity which invokes the ${}^{3}H_{4}$ mannuronic acid ester oxacarbenium ion 5 as the product forming intermediate (Figure 1).⁴ In line with the detailed studies of Woerpel and co-workers on the stereochemical alkylation of oxacarbenium ions, $⁵$ this intermediate is preferen-</sup> tially attacked by an incoming nucleophile from the β -face,

explaining the observed β -selectivity. It was reasoned that the C-5 carboxylate was at the basis of this unusual conformational behavior. It also became apparent in our studies of the C-2 azido mannuronic acid series that the introduction of the C-2 azide functionality in 3 did not significantly alter the β -selectivity of the glycosylation reaction with respect to the glycosylations of its C-2 \overrightarrow{b} enzyloxy counterpart 1.^{3b} Notably, this contrasts with the 4, 6-O-benzylidene β -mannosylation system in which the selectivity has been shown to be sensitive to the nature of the C-2 substituent.⁶ Work from our laboratory has revealed that condensations involving 2-azido-2-deoxy-4,6-O-benzylidene mannosyl donors proceed somewhat less β -selective than couplings with its C-2-O-benzyl counterpart.⁷ To further investigate the influence of different substitution patterns on glycosylation with mannosyl and mannuronic acid ester donors, we here report the results

Published: July 27, 2011 Received: June 7, 2011

The Journal of Organic Chemistry **FEATURED** ARTICLE

of our study of 2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy mannopyranosyl⁸ and mannopyranosyl uronate donors. 2,3-Diacetamido-dideoxy mannopyranosyl uronates are found in various bacterial capsular polysaccharides,⁹ in which they are usually linked in a $1,2$ -cis fashion to the next sugar residue. An efficient route of synthesis toward these rare bacterial carbohydrates can help to elucide their role in biology and immunology. We also report the stereoselective assembly of the tetrasaccharide repeating unit of the capsular polysaccharide of Bacillus stearothermophilus, containing two 2,3-diacetamido-2,3-dideoxy-β-mannopyranosyl uronates (47, Scheme 2).¹⁰

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We investigated three types of 2,3-diazido mannosyl donors, the 4,6-di-O-acetyl mannosides $6α$ and $6β$, the 4,6-O-benzylidene mannoside 7, and the mannuronic acid esters 8α and 8β (Scheme 1). The first two donors were selected because we previously reported that the installment of electron-withdrawing groups such as an O-acetate on C-4 and C-6 of a 2-azido mannosyl donor also provides β -selective condensation reactions, depending on the nature of the acceptor used.¹¹ More recently, Kim and co-workers reported on the stereodirecting effect of electron-withdrawing groups at C-3, C-4, and C-6 in mannosylations.¹² Donors 6, 7, and $\overline{8}$ were synthesized as depicted in Scheme 1. Key intermediate 13

was obtained following an adaptation of the procedure described by Guthrie and Murphy.¹³ Starting from 4,6-O-benzylidene-protected methyl glucoside $9,^{14}$ double methanesulfonylation toward compound 10 and subsequent epoxidation using potassium hydroxide in THF/MeOH resulted in crystalline compound 11 in 62% over two steps. Selective trans-diaxial opening of the epoxide with sodium azide in DMF at reflux temperature gave 2-azido-2-deoxyaltropyranoside 12 in 93%.

Subsequent triflation of C-3-OH and S_N2 substitution with NaN_3 in DMF at 80 °C resulted in diazido-containing mannopyranoside 13 via inversion of configuration at $C-3$.¹⁵ In one step, the benzylidene and anomeric methyl function were hydrolyzed with concomitant acetylation of the liberated alcohols to afford compound 14 as an anomeric mixture (α/β = 5:1). Treatment of compound 14 with PhSH and $BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$ in DCE at 50 °C resulted in α-thio donor 6 α (24%) and β -thio donor 6 β (58%), which were readily separated. Subsequent deacetylation under Zemplén conditions gave diols $15\alpha/\beta$. Crystalline benzylidene donor 7 was obtained from diol 15β using benzaldehyde dimethylacetal and a catalytic amount of p-TsOH in 69% yield. To obtain the mannuronic acid donors $8\alpha/\beta$, diols $15\alpha/\beta$ were subjected to regio- and chemoselective oxidation at C-6 using the TEMPO/BAIB reagent combination.^{16,17} From diol 15α , compound 16α was obtained in 76% yield after oxidation and ensuing methylation. Under similar conditions, diol 15β was

 a Reagents and conditions: (a) MsCl, pyridine; (b) KOH, THF/MeOH (11, 62% over two steps); (c) NaN₃, NH₄Cl, DMSO, 80 °C (12, 93%); (d) (i) Tf₂O, pyridine; (ii) NaN₃, NH₄Cl, DMF, 80 °C (13, 75%); (e) H₂SO₄, Ac₂O (14, 98%); (f) PhSH, BF₃ · Et₂O, DCE, 50 °C (6α, 24%; 6β, 58%); (g) NaOMe, MeOH (15 α , 100%; 15 β , 98%); (h) PhCH(OMe)₂, p-TsOH, MeCN (7, 69%); (i) (i) TEMPO, BAIB, DCM/H₂O; (ii) MeI, K₂CO₃, DMF (16α, 76%); (j) Ac₂O, pyridine (8α, 91%; 8β, 100%); (k) (i) TEMPO, BAIB, EtOAc/H₂O; (ii) MeI, K₂CO₃, DMF (16β, 91%).

Figure 2. Overview of mannopyranosyl triflates.

transformed into 16β in a somewhat lower yield (50%). Changing the organic solvent of the biphasic oxidation mixture from dichloromethane to ethyl acetate, in which the crystalline 15β proved to be more soluble, led to an increased yield (91%) of compound 16β. Methyl mannuronates 16 $α$ and 16β were acetylated using Ac_2O in pyridine to give donors 8α and 8β .

With the five donors, $6\alpha/\beta$, 7, and $8\alpha/\beta$, in hand, we first investigated their activation in low-temperature NMR experiments. Upon treatment of diacyl donor 6β with Ph₂SO and Tf₂O^{18,19} in DCM- d_2 at -80 °C, α -triflate 17 was rapidly formed (see Figure 2). This species proved to be stable to +10 $^{\circ}$ C. α -Configured donor 6 α provided the same triflate but required a higher temperature for complete activation $(-40 °C)$. Using the same activator system, 20 benzylidene donor 7 was rapidly transformed at -80 °C into α -triflate 18, which was stable up to 0 °C. Similarly, β -diazido mannuronic acid donor 8β was completely transformed into the corresponding anomeric triflate 19 at -80° C. In analogy to the monoazido mannuronic acid triflate 4, this species exists as a mixture of ⁴C₁ and ¹C₄ conformers (⁴C₁/¹C₄ \sim 4.5:1). Decomposition of this triflate started around -10 °C, making this species the least stable of the three diazido mannosidic triflates, in contrast to what could be expected based on the electron-withdrawing capacity of the different functional groups. The result is in line, however, with the relatively low decomposition temperatures for mannuronic acid triflates 2 and 4, as depicted in Figure $2³$ From the decomposition temperatures of the three different mannuronates, 2, 4, and 19, it is clear that the extra C-3 azide group in 19 has a stabilizing effect, as expected on the basis of its electron-withdrawing capacity $(F$ value \sim 0.48).²¹ The last donor in the series, α -mannuronic acid 8 α , required a significantly higher temperature $(-10 \degree C)$ for complete activation than its β -configured counterpart. As in the case of the monoazido mannuronic acid 3, the temperature required for complete activation of the α -isomer 8 α matched the decomposition temperature of the anomeric triflate.

Next, β -thio donors 6 β , 7, and 8 β were surveyed in a set of glycosylation reactions with primary acceptor 20 and secondary acceptors 21 and 22. To this end, the donors were preactivated $(Ph₂SO-Tf₂O)$ for 20 min at -80 °C before the addition of the acceptor alcohols and warming to 0° C. The results of the condensations are summarized in Table 1. As can be seen from

entries $1-3$, the condensations with diacetyl diazido mannoside 6β proceeded with very little selectivity. Entries 4-6 show that the benzylidene donor 7 is moderately α -selective. Clearly, these results oppose the results obtained with 2,3-di-O-benzyl benzylidene mannose. 2 As described above, we have previously studied 2-azido-3-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene mannosyl donors and found them to be moderately β -selective. More recently, Crich and co-workers have reported on the condensations of an α -(S)phenyl 3-azido-2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-alkylidene mannopyranosyl donor,²² which also proceed with moderate $β$ -selectivity. The substitution of a single O-benzyl group for an azide functionality thus already causes a drop in selectivity. The introduction of two azides leads to further erosion of β -selectivity, providing moderate α -selectivity in two of the three cases studied here. Crich and co-workers have rationalized the erosion of β -selectivity, observed with small substituents at the C-2 or C-3 position, through the observation that formation of the benzylidene mannosyl ${}^4\mathrm{H}_3$ oxacarbenium ion from the corresponding α -triflate proceeds with concomitant compression of the $R2-C-2-C-3-R3$ torsion angle, which is easier if the substituents R2 and R3 are smaller. $6a,23$ The diazido case studied here supports this mechanistic rationale: the presence of the two small azides $(A \text{ value } \sim 0.45 - 0.62 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})^{24}$ allows the mannosyl triflate to readily collapse into the α selective ${}^{4}H_3$ oxacarbenium ion. It should be noted that the electron-withdrawing effect of the azide does not counterbalance this steric effect, which has also been found for C-3-O-benzyl-C-2-fluoro- and C-2-O-benzyl-C-3-fluorobenzylidene mannosides.^{6a} A similar rationale can account for the poor selectivity obtained with donor 6β . Furthermore, Kim and co-workers have argued that participation of a remote C-6-O-acetate can also account for the formation of α -linked products from otherwise benzylated mannosides.¹² In the present case, we cannot exclude such a mechanism to contribute to the formation of the α -mannosides.

Entries $7-9$ show that the three diazido mannuronate disaccharides 29, 30, and 31 were all formed in a β -selective fashion. Secondary alcohol 21 gave the poorest selectivity and yield in the series, which parallels the results of condensations of this acceptor with other mannuronate donors.^{3a} Introduction of two azides on the mannuronic acid core thus has little influence on the selectivity of the mannuronic acid type donors, in contrast to the other two types of donors studied here. A possible explanation for this

Table 1. Glycosylation Study of Donors 6 β , 7, and $8\beta^a$

$\overline{2}$	AcO -SPh	21	24	2:1	45
3	6β	22	25	2.5:1	66
4	N_3 Ph ⁻	20	26	3:1	79
5	SPh	21	27	5:1	66
6		22	28	1:1	81
7	N_3 MeO ₂ C	20	29	1:5.5	94
8	AcO SPh	21	30	1:3.5	49
9	8β	22	31	1:7.5	89

a Conditions: donor 6 β or 7, Tf2O (1.3 equiv), Ph2SO (1.3 equiv), TTBP (2.5 equiv), DCM (0.05) at $-$ 80 °C, then add acceptor (1.5 equiv). Donor 8 β , Tf₂O (1.3 equiv), Ph₂SO (1.3 equiv), TTBP (2.5 equiv), DCM (0.05) at $-80 \rightarrow -60$ °C, then add acceptor (1.5 equiv).

Figure 3. 2,3-Diazido mannuronate oxacarbenium ions.

observation can be found in the preferred conformation of the mannuronate oxacarbenium ions, in which the C-5 carboxylic acid ester prefers to occupy a pseudoaxial position (as in 5, Figure 1), making the ${}^{3}H_{4}$ oxacarbenium ion energetically favored over its ${}^{4}H_{4}$ counterpart. Nucleophilic attack at the ${}^{3}H_{4}$ oxacarbenium H_3 counterpart. Nucleophilic attack at the 3H_4 oxacarbenium ion leads to the preferential formation of the β -product. Woerpel and co-workers have established that an azido group follows the preference of an O-alkyl substituent to occupy an axial orientation in an oxacarbenium ion intermediate.^{5a} The relative stabilities of the diazido mannuronic acid $^3{\rm H}_4$ and $^4{\rm H}_3$ oxacarbenium ions 32 and 33 thus mirror those of the 2,3-di-O-benzyl mannuronic acid, making the former favored over the latter and providing a positive contribution to the formation of the β -linked product (Figure 3). The same line of reasoning can be applied to a product forming exploded transition state (34) in which the triflate dissociates from the diazido mannuronic acid core leading to partial oxacarbenium ion character at C-1, which is best accommodated in a ³H₄-like conformation. S_N2-like reaction of this species provides the β -linked product. Although it could be reasoned that installment of two azides and the C-5 carboxylic acid ester would provide a highly disarmed donor, which would be difficult to activate, the yields obtained in the condensations of donor 8β with alcohols 20 and 22 clearly show this not to be the case: the donors can be activated at temperatures as low as -80 °C to provide reactive glycosylating species. The conformational behavior of the mannuronates could be at the basis of this unexpected reactivity.²⁵

Having established that the diazido mannuronic acid donor 8β is the donor of choice for the introduction of the 2,3-diamino-2, 3-dideoxy β -mannosidic bond, we sought to explore its utility in the construction of a complex oligosaccharide. To this end, we selected the repeating unit of the secondary cell wall polysaccharide of Bacillus stearothermophilus, $[\rightarrow 4)$ -β-D-ManpA2,3(NAc)₂-(1–6)-α-D-Glcp-(1-24)-β-D-ManpA2,3(NAc)₂-(1-23)- α -D-GlcpNAc-(1-2),⁹ as a synthetic target (Scheme 2). This all-cis-linked oligosaccharide features two β -linked diacetamino mannuronic acids in addition to an α -glucose and an α -glucosamine moiety. We decided to construct tetrasaccharide 47, having an aminopentanol spacer at its reducing end, from three building blocks: reducing end glucosamine 39, glucose mannuronic acid disaccharide 41, and terminal mannuronic acid 44. We based our synthesis on the use of the central disaccharide 41 because this type of disaccharide performed well in the construction of Micrococcus luteus oliogomers, composed of repeating $[\rightarrow 6)$ - α -D-Glcp-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-ManpA2(NAc)-(1 \rightarrow] units.^{3b} The synthesis of these building blocks and the full assembly of the tetrasaccharide is depicted in Scheme 2. The synthesis of acceptor 39 started from hemiacetal 35,^{26a,b} which was transformed into N-phenyltrifluoroacetimidate 36^{26c} in 96% yield. The stereoselective condensation of this donor with N-(benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentanol required some optimization. When a mixture of 36 and the acceptor in DCM was treated with a catalytic amount of TfOH at 0° C, compound 37 was formed as an α/β mixture, with a slight preference for the α -anomer. The addition of thiophene to the reaction mixture as prescribed by Boons et al.²⁷ to enhance the α -selectivity did not result in a better selectivity. By using diethyl ether as the solvent and lowering the reaction temperature to -40 °C, the stereoselectivity of the reaction was enhanced to provide product 37 in 77% yield and a 7:1 α/β ratio. Separation of the two anomers was troublesome at this stage, and therefore, 37 was transformed into alcohol 39 by subsequent deacetylation and silylidene formation. After this sequence of reactions, pure α -configured acceptor 39

Scheme 2. Construction of Tetrasaccharide 47^a

^a Reagents and conditions: (a) C(NPh)CF₃-Cl, K₂CO₃, acetone/H₂O (96%, $\alpha/\beta = 1.4:1$); (b) N-(benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentanol, TfOH (cat.), Et₂O, −40 → −10 °C (77%, α/β = 7:1); (c) NaOMe, MeOH (quant.); (d) (tBu)₂Si(OTf)₂, DMF (76%); (e) 40, 16β, TfOH (cat.), Et₂O, $-40 \rightarrow -10$ °C (96%); (f) 41, Ph₂SO, Tf₂O, DCM, $-80 \rightarrow -60$ °C, then 39, $-80 \rightarrow -10$ °C (99%); (g) Et₃N, pyridine (94%); (h) TBSOTf, Et₃N, DCM, (88%); (i) 44, Ph₂SO, Tf₂O, TTBP, DCM, -80 °C, then 43, -30 °C overnight, (74%); (j) (i) TBAF, HOAc (96%); (ii) TBAF, HOAc $(75%)$; (k) KOH, H₂O₂, THF, H₂O; (l) (i) Zn, AcOH, THF; (ii) Ac₂O, NaHCO₃, THF, H₂O; (m) H₂, Pd/C, H₂O, THF, HCl (20%).

could be isolated in 76% yield. The disaccharide 41 was constructed using 6-O-Fmoc-protected glucose imidate donor 40 and (S)-phenyl diazido mannuronic acid 16β using conditions we previously established for the α -selective condensation of 40 and the monoazido mannuronic acid counterpart of $16\beta^{3b,28}$ The key disaccharide 41 was obtained in excellent yield as a single anomer. Next, dimer 41 and glucosamine 39 were fused using our standard $Ph₂SO-Tf₂O$ preactivation protocol in the absence of any base to prevent undesired Fmoc cleavage. All-cis-linked trisaccharide 42 was obtained in near quantitative yield as a single diastereomer, highlighting the apt glycosylating capacity of the diazido mannuronic acid donor. Liberation of the 6["]-OH under mild basic conditions then set the stage for the final coupling, in which the trisaccharide acceptor 43 was condensed with C-4- O-TBS-protected diazido mannuronic acid 44, obtained from 16β by treatment with TBSOTf and $Et₃N$ in 88% yield. The stereochemical outcome of this reaction did not pose any problems, but to obtain a profitable yield, some experimentation was required. After trying different reaction temperatures and times, the best conditions (reaction at -30 °C overnight) provided the fully protected tetrasaccharide 45 in 74% yield. It is of interest to note that the replacement of the electron-withdrawing C-4-O-acetyl in 8β by the less electron poor TBS ether in 44 does not adversely affect the $β$ -selectivity of the diazido mannuronic acid donor.

Deprotection of the tetrasaccharide started with the removal of the silyl groups. It was found that the silylidene group could be removed without affecting the C-4"'-O-TBS ether.²⁹ In fact, removal of this latter silyl ether was extremely sluggish and deprotection of the C-4^{$\prime\prime\prime$}-OH required 72 h for completion. Next, the carboxylic acid esters were saponified using KOOH in H_2O/THF to provide the diacid. Initially, we tried to simultaneously reduce the five azide groups and benzyl ethers and benzylcarbonate functionality under Birch conditions.3b Unfortunately, this led to partial fragmentation of the oligosaccharide through cleavage of the $β$ -mannuronic acid bonds, a side reaction we have also observed in our synthesis of M. luteus $[\rightarrow 6)$ - α - D - G lcp- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-ManpA2(NAc)-(1 \rightarrow]_n oligomers. We therefore resorted to a stepwise reduction procedure, in which first the four azides were reduced using zinc in acetic acid, 30 followed by aqueous acetylation of the liberated amines. Removal of the benzyl ethers and benzyloxycarbonyl groups by treatment with H_2 over Pd/C in the presence of aqueous HCl completed the synthesis of the target tetrasaccharide. The fully deprotected tetramer 47 was purified by HPLC and isolated in 20% overall yield.

CONCLUSIONS

Three different 2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy mannosylating agents were evaluated for their potential to provide β -mannosidic bonds: the 4,6-di-O-acetyl- and 4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy mannopyranosyl donors proved to be rather unselective or slightly α -selective. In contrast, 2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy mannuronic acid esters provided the desired β -linked product with good selectivity. The observed differences in stereochemical outcome suggest that different mechanistic pathways take place: the 4,6-di-O-acetyland 4,6-O-benzylidene systems react through an α -selective $^4\rm{H}_{3}$ oxacarbenium ion-type intermediate (or corresponding transition state), while the reactions of the mannuronate donors involve a transition state with ${}^{3}H_{4}$ oxacarbenium ion-like character. The profitable β-mannosylating properties of the diazido mannuronates were exploited in the stereoselective synthesis of an all-cislinked Bacillus stearothermophilus tetrasaccharide, featuring two β -mannuronic acid linkages. It is expected that the methodology described here can be readily applied in the synthesis of diamino mannuronic acid containing polysaccharides of different bacteria, 31 such as Bordetella pertussis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Neisseria meningitides. Current research is aimed at further unravelling the reaction mechanism(s) underlying the β -selectivity and reactivity of mannuronic acids.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedure for the Low-Temperature NMR Experi**ments.** A mixture of the donor (30 μ mol) and Ph₂SO (39 μ mol)²⁰ was coevaporated with toluene (2 \times). The residue was dissolved in DCM- d_2 (0.6 mL) and transferred to an NMR tube under an argon atmosphere. The tube was stoppered and sealed. The NMR magnet was cooled to -80 °C, locked, and shimmed. In an acetone bath (-80 °C), the sample was treated with Tf₂O (39 μ mol), shaken three times, and placed back in the NMR magnet. The first ¹H spectrum was immediately recorded. Further temperature changes were executed depending on the spectra recorded but always with multiples of 10 °C.

General Procedure for the $Ph₂SO/TF₂O$ -Mediated Glyco**sylations.** A mixture of the donor (1 equiv), $Ph₂SO$ (1.3 equiv), and TTBP (2.5 equiv) was coevaporated twice with toluene. While the mixture was under an argon atmosphere, freshly distilled DCM (0.05 M) was added, followed by the addition of activated molecular sieves (3 Å) . The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature and cooled to the activation temperature. Tf₂O (1.3 equiv) was added in one portion, and the activation progress was monitored by TLC analysis. In the case of uronic acid donor 8β , the temperature was raised to $-60\degree$ C in 20 min and cooled back to -80 °C. Then a solution of the acceptor (0.3-0.5 M in DCM) was slowly added via the wall of the flask. The mixture was allowed to warm to 0 $^{\circ}$ C, after which Et₃N or pyridine was added to quench the reaction. Aqueous workup, passage of the residue through a column of Sephadex LH-20 (eluted with DCM/MeOH, 1/1, v/v), and purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel) gave the coupled product.

Phenyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio-α/ β -D-**mannopyranoside (6α/6β).** Compound 14 (0.39 g, 1.08 mmol) and PhSH (0.13 mL, 1.25 mmol) were dissolved in DCE (5.65 mL), followed by the addition of BF_3 Et_2O (0.28 mL, 2.26 mmol), and the solution was heated to 50 °C (5 h). Saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ was added, and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with H₂O (2 \times). Purification using column chromatography (silica gel, 20% EtOAc in PE for the α -anomer, 25% EtOAc in PE for the β-anomer) yielded the pure anomers **6** α and **6** β as off-white amorphous solids (0.36 g, 0.89 mmol, 82%, $\alpha/\beta = 1:2.4$). TLC $R_f \alpha$ -anomer 0.50, $β$ -anomer 0.34 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v). spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +110.0 (*c* 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1034, 1227, 1728, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.45-7.51 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.32-7.36 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.54 (d, 1H, J = 1.0 Hz, H-1), 5.31 (t, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz, H-4), 4.44 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.4, 5.6, 9.8 Hz, H-5), 4.23 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.6$, 12.3 Hz, H-6), 4.16 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.3$, 3.5 Hz, H-2), 4.09 (dd, 1H, $J = 2.4$, 12.3 Hz, H-6), 4.00 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5$, 10.0 Hz, H-3), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.05 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.3, 169.2 (C=O Ac), 131.9 $(C_q$ SPh), 131.9, 129.1, 128.2 (CH_{arom}), 85.5 (C-1), 69.4 (C-5), 67.2 (C-4), 63.3 (C-2), 62.0 (C-6), 60.7 (C-3), 20.4, 20.4 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCI_3, 100 MHz) \delta 85.5 (J_{C1, H1} = 169 Hz, C-1); HRMS [M + NH₄]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}N_7O_5S$ 424.13976, found 424.13994. Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer: $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ + 14.4 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1034, 1211, 1736, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.50–7.55 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.30–7.34 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.27 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz, H-4), 4.83 (d, 1H, $J = 1.4$ Hz, H-1), 4.21 (dd, 1H, $J = 6.1$, 12.2 Hz, H-6), $4.13-4.17$ (m, 2H, H-2, H-6), 3.80 (dd, 1H, J = 3.7, 10.0 Hz, H-3), 3.60 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.8, 6.0, 9.6 Hz, H-5), 2.13 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH3 Ac); 13C-APT NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.0, 169.2 (C=O Ac), 132.9 (C_q SPh), 131.1, 128.8, 127.7 (CH_{arom}), 86.1 (C-1), 76.3 (C-5), 66.8 (C-4), 64.0 (C-2), 63.8 (C-3), 62.2 (C-6), 20.2, 20.2 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 86.1 (J_{C1H1} = 155 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{22}N_7O_5S$ 424.13976, found 424.13984.

Phenyl 2,3-diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio- β -D-**mannopyranoside (7).** To a solution of compound 15 β (0.38 g, 1.18 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (9 mL) were added $PhCH(OMe)_2$ (0.33 mL, 2.2 mmol) and p-TsOH (cat). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt, followed by the addition of $Et₃N$ until pH was almost neutral. EtOAc was added, and the solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The title compound was obtained by crystallization from EtOAc/PE as white fluffy crystals (0.33 g, 0.81 mmol, 69%): TLC R_f 0.52 (PE/EtOAc, 4/1, v/v); $[\alpha]^{20}$ _D +34.4 (*c* 1, DCM); melting point = 178–180 °C; IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 696, 978, 1078, 1096, 1263, 2099, 2151;
¹H NMP (CDCL 400 MH₂ HH-COSY HSOC) δ 7.44-7.53 (m) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.44-7.53 (m, 4H, CH_{arom}), 7.31-7.42 (m, 6H, CH_{arom}), 5.66 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 4.90 $(s, 1H, H-1), 4.35$ (dd, $1H, J = 4.9, 10.6$ Hz, $H-6$), $4.09-4.20$ (m, $2H,$ H-2, H-4), $3.87 - 3.96$ (m, 2H, H-3, H-6), 3.47 (dt, 1H, J = 4.9, 9.5, 9.7 Hz, H-5); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 136.6 (C_q Ph), 133.2 (C_q SPh), 132.0, 129.3, 129.1, 128.3, 125.8 (CH_{arom}), 101.6 (CH Ph), 87.5 (C-1), 76.9 (C-4), 72.0 (C-5), 68.3 (C-6), 64.9 (C-2), 63.1 (C-3); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 87.5 (J_{C1,H1} = 157 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{19}H_{19}N_{6}O_{3}S$ 411.12339, found 411.12343.

Methyl (Phenyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio- α -D-mannopyranosyl uronate) (8 α). Compound 16 α (0.24 g, 0.69 mmol) was treated with Ac_2O /pyridine (6 mL, $1/3$, v/v) until TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of the starting material. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H_2O and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 25% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title compound as yellowish oil (0.25 g, 0.62 mmol, 91%): TLC R_f 0.43 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +70.6 (c 1, DCM); IR $(\mathrm{neat, cm}^{-1})$ 748, 1049, 1211, 1751, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.53-7.58 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.30-7.36 $(m, 3H, CH_{arom})$, 5.55 (d, 1H, J = 5.1 Hz, H-1), 5.42 (t, 1H, J = 6.8 Hz, H-4), 4.65 (d, 1H, J = 6.5 Hz, H-5), 4.06 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 7.4 Hz, H-3), 4.00 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 4.9 Hz, H-2), 3.76 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 2.12 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 169.1, 167.3 (C=O Ac, CO₂Me), 131.9 (CH_{arom}), 131.5 (C_q SPh) 129.0, 128.1 (CH_{arom}) , 83.8 (C-1), 71.3 (C-5), 68.4 (C-4), 60.7 (C-2), 60.1 (C-3), 52.6 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 20.4 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 83.8 (J_{C1,H1} = 168 Hz, C-1); HRMS [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₅H₁₆N₆O₅SNa 415.07951, found 415.07942.

Methyl (Phenyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio- β -D-mannopyranosyl uronate) (8β). Compound 16β (0.26 g, 0.74 mmol) was treated with Ac_2O /pyridine (6 mL, $1/3$, v/v) until TLC analysis indicated complete consumption of the starting material. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with H_2O and saturated aqueous NaCl, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title compound as a yellowish solid (0.29 g, 0.74 mmol, quant.): TLC R_f 0.31 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); [α]²⁰_D +19.8 (*c* 1, DCM); $IR (neat, cm⁻¹)$ 1049, 1219, 1751, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.44-7.48 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.26-7.32 $(m, 3H, CH_{arom})$, 5.33 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4), 5.00 (d, 1H, J = 1.3 Hz, H-1), 4.26 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.1$, 3.6 Hz, H-2), 4.05 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.4$, 10.3 Hz, H-3), 4.03 (d, 1H, $J = 9.9$ Hz, H-5), 3.69 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); 13 C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 169.2, 166.7 (C=O Ac, CO₂Me), 132.8 (C_q SPh), 131.4, 129.0, 128.0 (CH_{arom}), 86.6 (C-1), 76.3 (C-5), 67.6 (C-4), 64.0 (C-2), 63.4 (C-3), 52.6 (CH3 CO₂Me), 20.2 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 86.6 $(J_{C1,H1} = 156 \text{ Hz}, C-1)$; HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{15}H_{20}N_7O_5S$ 410.12411, found 410.12400.

Methyl 4,6-O-Benzylidene-2,3-di-O-methanesulfonyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (10). Compound 9¹⁴ (17.4 g, 61.7 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (123 mL), and methanesulfonyl chloride (14.4 mL, 186 mmol) was dropwise added. The mixture was stirred overnight and subsequently diluted with EtOAc and H_2O . The layers were separated, and the organic fraction was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated in vacuo. Crude compound 10 was used in the next reaction step without further purification. A fraction was crystallized for analytical purposes. Spectroscopic data were in accord with those previously reported:³² TLC R_f 0.74 (DCM/acetone, 10/1, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.41-7.48 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.35-7.40 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.56 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 5.09 $(t, 1H, J = 9.6 Hz, H-3), 5.03 (d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-1), 4.63 (dd, 1H, J = 1)$ 3.7, 9.6 Hz, H-2), 4.34 (dd, 1H, J = 4.8, 10.4 Hz, H-6), 3.94 (td, 1H, J = 4.8, 9.8, 9.9 Hz, H-5), 3.79 (t, 1H, $J = 10.4$ Hz, H-6), 3.74 (t, 1H, $J = 9.5$ Hz, H-4), 3.49 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.17 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ms); 13 C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 136.2 (C_q Ph), 129.5, 128.4, 126.0 (CH_{arom}), 101.9 (CH Ph), 98.78 (C-1), 78.9 (C-4), 77.1 (C-3), 75.8 (C-2), 68.6 (C-6), 62.2 (C-5), 56.0 (OMe), 38.9, 38.7 (CH₃ Ms); HRMS $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₂₂O₁₀S₂Na 461.05466, found 461.05430.

Methyl 2,3-Anhydro-4,6-O-benzylidene-α-p-allopyranoside (11). Crude compound 10 (∼62 mmol) was dissolved in THF/MeOH (500 mL, $2/3$, v/v) followed by the addition of KOH (10.5 g, 187 mmol). The mixture was refluxed at 70 °C overnight. Then H_2O was added, the mixture diluted with EtOAc, and the organic fraction was separated and washed with H₂O ($3\times$), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Crystallization (EtOAc/PE) yielded the title compound as a white fluffy solid (10.2 g, 39.5 mmol, 62% over two steps). Spectroscopic data were in accord with those previously reported:³³ TLC R_f 0.56 (PE/ EtOAc, 2/3, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH – COSY, HSQC) δ 7.47 -7.52 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.35 -7.40 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.57 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 4.89 (d, 1H, $J = 2.8$ Hz, H-1), 4.24 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.0$, 10.2 Hz, H-6), $4.05 - 4.12$ (m, 1H, H-5), 3.95 (dd, 1H, J = 1.0, 9.1 Hz, H-4), 3.68 $(t, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, H₋₆), 3.52 (d, 1H, J = 4.3 Hz, H₋₃), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz)$ 2.8, 4.3 Hz, H-2), 3.47 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 137.1 (C_q Ph), 129.2, 128.3, 126.3 (CH_{arom}), 102.7 (CH Ph), 95.3 (C-1), 77.9 (C-4), 68.9 (C-6), 60.0 (C-5), 55.8 (OMe), 53.1 (C-2), 50.7 (C-3); HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}O_5$ 265.10705, found 265.10718.

Methyl 2-Azido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-α-p-altropyranoside (12). Compound 11 (13.6 g, 52 mmol) was dissolved in DMSO (260 mL), followed by the addition of NaN_3 (10.1 g, 155 mmol) and NH4Cl (24.9 g, 466 mmol). The mixture was heated overnight at 80 °C and subsequently diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(3\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title compound as a colorless oil (14.8 g, 48.4 mmol, 93%): TLC R_f 0.51 (PE/EtOAc, 2/3, v/v); [α]²⁰_D +68.9 (*c* 1, DCM); IR $(neat, cm^{-1})$ 1042, 1242, 1736, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.45-7.51 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.29-7.38 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.57 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 4.63 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.28 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2, 10.2 Hz, H-6), 4.16 (td, 1H, J = 5.2, 10.0, 10.0 Hz, H-5), 4.03 (s, 1H, H-3), 3.76 $-$ 3.81 (m, 2H, H-2, H-4), 3.75 (t, 1H, J = 10.3 Hz, H-6), 3.37 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.14 (bs, 1H, 3-OH); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 136.9 (C_q Ph), 128.9, 128.0, 126.0 (CH_{arom}), 101.9 (CH Ph), 99.1 (C-1), 75.7 (C-4), 68.7 (C-6), 67.1 (C-3), 61.6 (C-2), 57.8 (C-5), 55.5 (OMe); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 99.1 (J_{C1,H1} = 171 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{18}N_3O_5$ 308.12410, found 308.12414.

Methyl 2,3-Diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-dideoxy- α -**D-mannopyranoside (13).** A solution of compound 12 (14.85 g, 48.4 mmol) in DCE (340 mL) was treated with pyridine (91 mL, 1.13 mol) and Tf_2O (18.9 mL, 112.5 mmol). The reaction was stirred for 30 min, followed by the addition of H_2O to quench. The mixture was diluted with DCM, washed with H₂O (3 \times), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in the presence of toluene (2×). The crude triflate (\sim 48 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (110 mL). NaN₃ (18.7 g, 288 mmol) and NH₄Cl (9.0 g, 168 mmol) were added, and the mixture was heated overnight at 80 °C. EtOAc and H₂O were added, and the layers were separated. The organic phase was washed with H₂O ($2\times$), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) furnished the title compound as a white amorphous solid (12.1 g, 36.3 mmol, 75%): TLC R_f 0.75 (PE/EtOAc, 4/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ +94.0 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1041, 1735, 2106, 2931; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.47-7.52 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.35-7.41 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.65 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 4.71 (d, 1H, J $= 1.4$ Hz, H-1), 4.29 (dd, 1H, J = 10.6, 16.2 Hz, H-6), 4.14 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.2 Hz, H-3), 4.05 (dt, $1H$, $J = 1.6$, 10.2 Hz, H -4), 3.90 (dd, $1H$, $J = 1.4$, 3.6 Hz, H-2), 3.82-3.85 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), 3.40 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 136.8 (C_q), 129.0, 128.3, 125.8 (CH_{arom}) , 101.6 (CH Ph), 99.3 (C-1), 77.5 (C-4), 68.7 (C-6), 63.8 (C-5), 62.7 (C-2), 59.2 (C-3), 55.2 (OMe); HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{14}H_{17}N_6O_4$ 333.13058, found 333.13036.

Acetyl 4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranoside (14). Compound 13 (20.7 mmol) was dissolved in Ac₂O (35 mL) and treated with H₂SO₄ (0.3 mL) at 0 °C for 2 h. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃. The organic fraction was washed with H_2O and saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) furnished the title compound as a brownish oil (7.3 g, 20.5 mmol, 98%, α/β = 5:1): TLC R_f 0.45 (PE/EtOAc, $1/1$, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1211, 1735, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH – COSY, HSQC) δ 6.12 (d, 1H, J = 1.6 Hz, H-1α), 5.85 (d, 0.2H, J = 0.9 Hz, H-1β), 5.33 (t, 1H, J = 10.1 Hz, H-4α), 5.21 (t, 0.2H, J = 9.9 Hz,

H-4 β), 4.22-4.27 (m, 0.2H, H-6 β), 4.20 (dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 12.5 Hz, H-6α), 4.11 (d, 0.2H, J = 2.3 Hz, H-2β), 4.07–4.14 (m, 1.2H, H-6α, H-6β), 4.05-4.07 (m, 1H, H-3α), 3.95-4.00 (m, 2H, H-2α, H-5α), 3.73 - 3.80 (m, 0.4H, H-3 β , H-5 β), 2.20 (s, 0.6H, CH₃ Ac- β), 2.17 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac- α), 2.15 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac- α), 2.13 (s, 0.6H, CH₃ Ac- β), 2.09 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac-α); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.3, 169.1, 169.1, 168.1, 167.9 (C=O Ac), 91.5 (C-1 β), 90.6 (C-1 α), 73.5 (C-5β), 70.4 (C-5α), 66.2 (C-4α), 65.7 (C-4β), 61.7 (C-2β), 61.5 (C-6R, C-6β), 61.3 (C-3β), 60.9 (C-2R), 59.8 (C-3R), 20.5, 20.4, 20.3, 20.3, 20.3 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 91.5 (J_{C1,H1} = 162 Hz, C-1β), 90.6 ($J_{C1,H1}$ = 175 Hz, C-1α); HRMS $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{12}H_{16}N_6O_7Na$ 379.09727, found 379.09719.

Phenyl 2,3-Diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio-α-D-mannopyranoside (15 α). Compound 6 α (0.78 g, 2.0 mmol) was suspended in MeOH (10 mL) and treated with NaOMe (39 mg, 0.72 mmol) for 2 h. The mixture was neutralized by the addition of Amberlite-H⁺, filtered, and reduced in volume. The residue was taken up in EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The product was obtained as a yellow oil (0.65 g, 2.0 mmol, quant.): TLC R_f 0.16 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +72.1 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 727, 905, 1065, 2102, 3337; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.42-7.46 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.29-7.35 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.46 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.36 (bs, 1H, 4-OH), 4.05-4.15 $(m, 3H, H-2, H-4, H-5), 3.86 - 3.92$ $(m, 2H, H-3, H-6), 3.79$ (dd, 1H, J = 1.3, 12.3 Hz, H-6), 3.04 (bs, 1H, 6-OH); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 132.4 (C_q SPh), 132.1, 129.2, 128.2 (CH_{arom}), 86.1 (C-1), 73.3 (C-4), 66.4 (C-5), 63.8 (C-2), 62.9 (C-3), 61.3 (C-6); 13C-GATED $(CDCI_3, 100 MHz) \delta 86.1 (J_{C1, H1} = 168 Hz, C-1); HRMS [M + NH₄]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{12}H_{18}N_7O_3S$ 340.11863, found 340.11869.

Phenyl 2,3-Diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio-β-D-mannopyranoside (15 β). Compound 6 β (3.22 g, 7.93 mmol) was suspended in MeOH (40 mL) and treated with NaOMe (43 mg, 0.79 mmol) for 1.5 h, after which time the mixture was neutralized by the addition of Amberlite- H^+ , , filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The title compound was obtained as an off-white fluffy solid (2.50 g, 7.76 mmol, 98%): TLC R_f 0.39 (PE/EtOAc, 1/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +22.7 (c 1, MeOH); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1074, 2104, 3211, 3366; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.45-7.50 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.30-7.37 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 4.87 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.10 (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, H-2), 4.06 (t, 1H, J = 9.7 Hz, H-4), 3.90 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 12.3 Hz, H-6), 3.84 (dd, 1H, J = 4.0, 12.2 Hz, H-6), 3.69 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.9 Hz, H-3), 3.30 - 3.36 (m, 1H, H-5), 1.39 (bs, 2H, 4-OH, 6-OH); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 133.4 (C_q SPh), 131.2, 129.1, 127.9 (CH_{arom}), 86.4 (C-1), 80.9 (C-5), 66.2 (C-3), 65.6 (C-4), 64.7 (C-2), 61.3 (C-6); 13C-GATED (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 86.4 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 155 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{14}N_6O_3S$ Na 345.07403, found 345.07380.

Methyl (Phenyl 2,3-diazido-2,3-deoxy-1-thio-α-D-mannopyranosyl uronate) (16 α). Diol 15 α (0.37 g, 1.15 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (4 mL), and $H_2O(2 mL)$ was added. The mixture was cooled to 0 \degree C, followed by the addition of TEMPO (36 mg, 0.23 mmol) and BAIB (0.93 g, 2.88 mmol). The resulting emulsion was stirred at rt for 1.5 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$, and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was dissolved in dry DMF (10 mL) and treated with MeI (0.2 mL, 3.45 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (0.48 g, 3.45 mmol) at rt overnight. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and H_2O , and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 25% EtOAc in PE) gave the title compound as a yellowish oil (0.29 g, 0.82 mmol, 71%): TLC R_f 0.70 (PE/EtOAc, 1/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ +86.4 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 727, 1078, 1250, 1439, 1734, 2102, 3487; 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.47-7.52 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.28-7.36 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 5.50 $(d, 1H, J = 1.3 Hz, H-1)$, 4.71 $(d, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, H-5)$, 4.26 $(t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz,$ H-4), 4.09 (s, 1H, H-2), 3.91 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.4$, 9.4 Hz, H-3), 3.81 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.68 (d, 1H, J = 1.9 Hz, 4-OH); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.0 (C=O CO₂Me), 132.1 (C_q SPh), 132.0, 129.2, 128.3 (CH_{arom}), 86.2 (C-1), 71.6 (C-5), 68.2 (C-4), 62.7 (C-2), 61.7 (C-3), 52.9 (CH₃ CO₂Me); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 86.2 $(J_{C1,H1} = 169$ Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{13}H_{18}N_7O_4S$ 368.11355, found 368.11356.

Methyl (Phenyl 2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio-β-Dmannopyranosyl uronate) (16 β). Diol 15 β (0.51 g, 1.58 mmol) was dissolved in EtOAc (6 mL), and $H_2O(3 \text{ mL})$ was added. The mixture was cooled to 0° C, followed by the addition of TEMPO (50 mg, 0.32 mmol) and BAIB (1.27 g, 3.95 mmol). The resulting emulsion was stirred at rt for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$, and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was dissolved in dry DMF (9 mL) and treated with MeI $(0.3 \text{ mL}, 4.74 \text{ mmol})$ and K_2CO_3 $(0.66 \text{ g}, 4.74 \text{ mmol})$ at rt overnight. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc and H_2O , and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 33% EtOAc in PE) gave the title compound as an off-white solid (0.50 g, 1.43 mmol, 91%): TLC R_f 0.29 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ – 13.8 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1034, 1265, 1288, 1736, 2106, 3741; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.49-7.55 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.28-7.36 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 4.84 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.22 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz, H-4), 4.08 (d, 1H, $J = 2.9$ Hz, H-2), 3.81 - 3.86 (m, 4H, H-5, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.72 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.7 Hz, H-3), 3.60 (bs, 1H, 4-OH); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 169.2 (C=O CO₂Me), 133.1 (C_q SPh), 131.8, 129.1, 128.2 (CH_{arom}), 87.2 (C-1), 77.8 (C-5), 67.7 (C-4), 65.2 (C-3), 63.8 (C-2), 53.0 (CH₃ CO₂Me); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 87.2 (J_{CLH1} = 155 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{13}H_{14}N_6O_4S$ Na 373.06894, found 373.06854.

Methyl 6-O-(4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-R/ β -<code>D-mannopyranosyl</code>)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -<code>D-glucopyra-</code> noside (23). Donor 6β and acceptor 20 were condensed using the general protocol for Ph₂SO/Tf₂O-mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 23 (75%, $\alpha/\beta = 1:1$). TLC R_f α 0.44, β 0.15 (toluene/EtOAc, 2/3, v/v); $IR (neat, cm^{-1})$ 1042, 1227, 1744, 2098, 2924. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.24–7.40 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.22 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.02 $(d, 1H, J = 10.8$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.98 $(d, 1H, J = 11.6$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.89 $(d,$ $1H, J = 1.0$ Hz, H-1'), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 10.6$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.80 (d, 1H, $J = 12.5$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.69 (d, 1H, $J = 12.1$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$ Hz, H-1), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz, CHH Bn), 3.98 – 4.06 (m, 2H, H-3, H-6'), 3.96 (dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 12.4 Hz, H-6'), 3.82–3.90 (m, 3H, H-2', H-3', H-6), 3.73–3.78 (m, 2H, H-5, H-5'), 3.65 (dd, 1H, J = 1.6, 11.2 Hz, H-6), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 9.6 Hz, H-2), 3.46 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, H-4), 3.38 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.09 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.02 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.6, 169.3 (C=O Ac), 138.4, 138.0, 137.9 (C_q Bn), 128.5, 128.4, 128.0, 127.4 (CH_{arom}), 97.9 (C-1), 97.7 (C-1'), 82.0 (C-3), 79.9 (C-2), 77.2 (C-4), 75.8, 74.7, 73.3 $(CH₂ Bn)$, 69.5, 68.7 (C-5, C-5'), 66.8 (C-4'), 66.5 (C-6), 62.1 (C-2'), 61.9 (C-6'), 60.3 (C-3'), 55.3 (OMe), 20.6, 20.6 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCl_3, 100 MHz) \delta$ 97.9 $(J_{C1,H1} = 163 Hz, C-1)$, 97.7 $(J_{C1,H1} = 173 Hz,$ C-1'). Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.25–7.39 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.12 (t, 1H, J = 9.9 Hz, H-4'), 5.00 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.88 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.64 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J = 11.7$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.56 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-1), 4.33 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.20 (dd, 1H, J = 5.2, 12.3 Hz, H-6'), 4.06–4.14 (m, 2H, H-6, H-6'), 4.02 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, H-3), 3.83 (ddd, 1H, J = 1.4, 5.7, 9.7 Hz, H-5), 3.71 (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz,

H-2⁰), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J = 5.9, 10.4 Hz, H-6), 3.49 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.7 Hz, H-2), 3.43–3.47 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.35–3.41 (m, 5H, H-3', H-4, OMe), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.7, 169.2 (C=O Ac), 138.6, 138.4, 138.0 (C_q Bn), $128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6 \text{ (CH}_{arom}), 100.3 \text{ (C-1)}, 97.9 \text{ (C-1)}$ 82.0 (C-3), 79.9 (C-2), 77.3 (C-4), 75.7, 74.5, 73.4 (CH₂ Bn), 73.0 (C-5'), 69.5 (C-5), 68.8 (C-6), 66.7 (C-4'), 62.5 (C-2'), 62.3 (C-6'), 61.4 (C-3'), 55.2 (OMe), 20.7, 20.6 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 100.3 ($J_{\text{C1,HI}}$ = 156 Hz, C-1'), 97.9 ($J_{\text{C1,HI}}$ = 162 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{38}H_{44}N_{6}O_{11}Na$ 783.29603, found 783.29585.

Methyl 4-O-(4,6-Di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-R/ β - $\textsf{\small b-mannopy}$ ranosyl)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α - $\textsf{\small b-glu}$ copyranoside (24). Donor 6β and acceptor 21 were condensed using the general protocol for Ph₂SO/Tf₂O-mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 24 (45%, α/β = 2:1). TLC R_f 0.24, 0.38 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); IR $(neat, cm^{-1})$ 1042, 1234, 1744, 2106, 2924. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.25–7.41 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.19 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.15 $(d, 1H, J = 1.7 Hz, H-1'), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.74 (d,$ 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz, CHH Bn), $4.58-4.65$ (m, $4H$, CH₂ Bn, H-1), 4.51 $(d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.03 (dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 12.3 Hz, H-6'), 3.92$ $(t, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, H-3), 3.77 - 3.87 (m, 3H, H-3', H-5', H-6'), 3.73 - 3.77$ $(m, 1H, H-5)$, 3.71 (t, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz, H-4), 3.65 - 3.68 (m, 2H, H-6), 3.55 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.6 Hz, H-2), 3.50 (dd, 1H, J = 1.9, 3.3 Hz, H-2'), 3.41 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.10 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.6, 169.3 (C=O Ac), 138.0, 137.8, 137.6 (Cq Bn), 128.7, 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.7, 127.5 $(CH_{arom}), 99.5 (C-1'), 97.7 (C-1), 80.9 (C-3), 80.3 (C-2), 77.8 (C-4),$ 75.5, 73.5, 73.2 (CH₂ Bn), 69.5, 69.4 (C-5, C-5'), 69.0 (C-6), 67.0 $(C-4')$, 62.1 $(C-6')$, 62.0 $(C-2')$, 60.3 $(C-3')$, 55.4 (OMe) , 20.7, 20.6 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 99.5 (J_{C1,H1} = 171 Hz, C-1'), 97.7 ($J_{\rm C1,HI}$ = 163 Hz, C-1). Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer:
¹H NMP (CDCL - 400 MHz, HH \pm COSV HSOC) λ 7.26–7.42 (m) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH–COSY, HSQC) δ 7.26–7.42 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.06 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4'), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.86 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.77 (d, 1H, J = 12.3 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 13.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.58-4.63 (m, 2H, CHH Bn, H-1), 4.54 (d, 1H, J = 1.1 Hz, H-1′), 4.39 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.02 (dd, 1H, J = 4.3, 12.4 Hz, H-6'), 3.91 (t, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, H-3), 3.89 (t, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, H-4), 3.80 (dd, 1H, J = 2.6, 12.4 Hz, H-6'), $3.72 - 3.77$ (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), $3.61 - 3.65$ (m, 1H, H-6), 3.51 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 9.1 Hz, H-2), 3.38 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.37 (dd, 1H, J = 0.7, 3.4 Hz, H-2'), 3.16 (ddd, 1H, J = 2.6, 4.2, 9.7 Hz, H-5'), 2.94 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 10.2 Hz, H-3'), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 1.97 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC)$ δ 170.6, 169.1 $(C=O$ Ac), 139.2, 128.0, 137.5 (C_q Bn), 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.3 (CH_{arom}), 100.1 (C-1'), 98.2 (C-1), 80.0 (C-3), 79.2 (C-2), 77.7 (C-4), 74.9, 73.7, 73.4 (CH₂ Bn), 72.9 (C-5'), 69.3 (C-5), 68.1 (C-6), 66.2 (C-4'), 62.6 $(C-2')$, 61.8 $(C-6')$, 61.5 $(C-3)$ (C-2'), 61.8 (C-6'), 61.5 (C-3'), 55.4 (OMe), 20.7, 20.6 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 100.1 (J_{C1,H1} = 155 Hz, C-1'), 98.2 $(J_{C1,H1} = 164 \text{ Hz}, C-1)$; HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{38}H_{44}N_{6}O_{11}Na$ 783.29603, found 783.29586.

p-Methoxyphenyl 3-O-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2, 3-dideoxy-R/β-D-mannopyranosyl)-2-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene- β -D-galactopyranoside (25). Donor 6 β and acceptor 22 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ -mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 25 (66%, α/β = 2.5:1). TLC R_f α 0.75, β 0.50 (toluene/EtOAc, 1/1, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1049, 1219, 1504 1744, 2106, 2924, 3742. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: ^{1}H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.52–7.56 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.39–7.43 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 7.30–7.36 (m, 5H, CH_{arom}), 7.06 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz, CH_{arom}), 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, CH_{arom}), 5.57 $(s, 1H, CH Ph), 5.24 (t, 1H, J = 10.1 Hz, H-4'), 5.08 (d, 1H, J = 11.0 Hz,$ CHH Bn), 5.02 (d, 1H, J = 1.1 Hz, H-1'), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1), 4.73 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.37 (dd, 1H, J = 1.2, 12.4 Hz, H-6),

4.30 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-4), 4.02–4.11 (m, 3H, H-2, H-5', H-6), 3.94 $(dd, 1H, J = 2.4, 12.6 Hz, H-6', 3.82-3.92 (m, 4H, H-2', H-3, H-3')$, H-6'), 3.77 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.47 (s, 1H, H-5), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.6, 169.3 (C=O Ac), 155.5, 151.3, 138.0, 137.4 (C_q Ph, Bn), 129.2, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 126.3, 118.8, 114.5 (CH_{arom}), 103.4 (C-1), 101.1 $(CH Ph)$, 93.2 $(C-1')$, 76.2 $(C-2)$, 75.1 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 74.0 $(C-3)$, 71.1 $(C-4)$, 69.1 (C-6), 68.6 (C-5'), 66.6 (C-4'), 66.2 (C-5), 62.0 (C-2'), 61.5 (C-6'), 60.5 (C-3'), 55.6 (OMe), 20.7, 20.6 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 103.4 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 159 Hz, C-1), 93.2 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 171 Hz, C-1'); HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{37}H_{40}N_{6}O_{12}Na$ 783.25964, found 783.25923.

Methyl 6-O-(2,3-Diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (26). Donor 7 and acceptor 20 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ -mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 26 (79%, $\alpha/\beta = 3:1$). TLC R_f 0.65 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); $IR (neat, cm⁻¹)$ 698, 743, 1030, 1067, 1072, 2106. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.43-7.49 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.25-7.40 (m, 18H, CH_{arom}), 5.62 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 5.01 (d, 1H, $J = 10.8$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.95 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.77–4.84 (m, 3H, CH₂ Bn, H-1'), 4.68 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.59 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz, H-1), 4.17 (dd, 1H, J = 3.2, 8.8 Hz, H-6'), 3.98–4.05 (m, 3H, H-3, H-3' , H-4'), 3.85 (d, 1H, J = 2.8 Hz, H-2'), 3.71 – 3.83 (m, 4H, H-5, H-5', H-6, H-6⁰), 3.63 (dd, 1H, J = 1.5, 11.3 Hz, H-6), 3.52 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.6 Hz, H-2), 3.46 (t, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-4), 3.37 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 138.5, 137.9, 137.8, 136.8 (C_q), 129.0, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 125.8 (CH_{arom}), 101.6 $(CH Ph)$, 98.6 (C-1'), 97.9 (C-1), 82.0 (C-3), 79.9 (C-2), 77.5 (C-4'), 77.1 (C-4), 75.7, 74.9, 73.3 (CH₂ Bn), 69.6 (C-5), 68.5 (C-6[']), 66.5 (C-6), 64.1 (C-5'), 62.6 (C-2'), 59.1 (C-3'), 55.3 (OMe); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCI_3, 100 MHz)$ δ 98.6 $(J_{C1,H1} = 174 Hz, C-1')$, 97.9 $(J_{C1,H1} =$ 171 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{41}H_{48}N_7O_9$ 782.35080, found 782.35125.

Methyl 4-O-(2,3-Diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranoside (27). Donor 7 and acceptor 21 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ -mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 27 (66%, α/β = 5:1). TLC R_f 0.40 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 698, 737, 1028, 1047, 1096, 2106, 2928. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: 1 H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH $-$ COSY, HSQC) δ 7.44-7.49 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.23-7.41 (m, 18H, CH_{arom}), 5.59 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 5.16 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.74 $(d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.60 - 4.68$ (m, 3H, CHH Bn, CHH Bn, H-1), 4.57 (d, 1H, J = 12.0 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.52 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J = 4.7, 10.3 Hz, H-6'), 3.98–4.01 (m, 2H, H-3' , H-4'), 3.94 (t, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, H-3), 3.80–3.86 (m, 1H, H-5'), 3.79 $(t, 1H, J = 9.2 \text{ Hz}, \text{ H-4}), 3.63-3.74 \text{ (m, 4H, H-5, H-6, H-6, H-6)}$ 3.53–3.58 (m, 2H, H-2, H-2'), 3.39 (s, 3H, OMe); ¹³C-APT NMR $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC)$ δ 138.1, 137.7, 136.9 (C_a) , 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.6, 127.0, 125.8 (CH_{arom}), 101.6 (CH Ph), 100.0 (C-1'), 97.7 (C-1), 81.2 (C-3), 80.3 (C-2), 77.3 $(C-4')$, 76.7 $(C-4)$, 75.5, 73.6, 73.2 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 69.4 $(C-5)$, 68.8, 68.5 $(C-6)$ C-6'), 64.8 (C-5'), 62.6 (C-2'), 59.2 (C-3'), 55.4 (OMe); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCl_3, 100 MHz) \delta 100.0 (J_{C1, H1} = 176 Hz, C-1'), 97.7 (J_{C1, H1} = 167 Hz);$ HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{41}H_{48}N_7O_9$ 782.35080, found 782.35123.

p-Methoxyphenyl 3-O-(2,3-Diazido-4,6-O-benzylidene-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl)-2-O-benzyl-4,6-Obenzylidene-β-D-galactopyranoside (28). Donor 7 and acceptor 22 were condensed using the general protocol for Ph_2SO/Tf_2O mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 28 (81%, $\alpha/\beta = 1:1$): TLC R_f 0.44 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 696, 729, 1057, 1078, 1219, 1506, 2104; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.51-7.60 (m, 4H, CH_{arom}), 7.32-7.48 (m, 26H, CH_{arom}), 7.06 (d, 4H, J = 9.0 Hz, CH_{arom}), 6.80–6.85 (m, 4H, CHarom), 5.61 (s, 1H, CH Ph-R), 5.59 (s, 1H, CH Ph-β), 5.56 (s, 1H, CH Ph- α), 5.55 (s, 1H, CH Ph- β), 5.10 (d, 1H, J = 11.5 Hz, CHH Bn-β), 4.98 (s, 1H, H-1′α), 4.98 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CHH Bn-α), 4.93 (s, 1H, H-1[']β), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 7.8 Hz, H-1), 4.90 (d, 1H, J = 7.7 Hz, H-1), 4.79 (d, 1H, $J = 10.9$ Hz, CHH Bn- α), 4.67 (d, 1H, $J =$ 11.6 Hz, CHH Bn-β), 4.36 (dd, 2H, J = 3.4, 12.2 Hz, H-6α, H-6β), 4.26–4.32 (m, 3H, H-4α, H-4β, H-6'β), 4.00–4.22 (m, 8H, H-2α, H-2β, H-3'α, H-4'α, H-5'α, H-6α, H-6β, H-6'β), 3.81–3.90 (m, 5H, H-2'α, H-3α, H-3β, H-4'β, H-6'α), 3.73–3.78 (m, 7H, H-6'α, CH₃ OMe-α, CH₃ OMe- β), 3.51 (s, 1H, H-5), 3.46 (s, 1H, H-5), 3.30 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-2' β), 3.23–3.27 (m, 1H, H-5' β), 3.21 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.1 Hz, H-3' 13 C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 155.4, 155.4, 151.3, 138.5, 137.8, 137.6, 137.4, 137.0, 136.5 (Cq), 129.2, 129.1, 129.0, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 126.3, 126.2, 126.0, 125.8, 125.3, 118.9, 118.7, 114.5, 114.4 (CH_{arom}), 103.4, 103.2 (C-1α, C-1β), 101.6, 101.5, 101.4 (C-1'β, CH Ph, CH Ph), 101.1, 100.5 (CH Ph), 93.8 (C-1'α), 79.1 $(C-2)$, 77.5 $(C-4'\alpha)$, 77.2, 76.7 $(C-3)$, 76.2 $(C-2)$, 75.6 $(C-4)$, 75.5, 75.5 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 73.8 (C-4' β), 70.9 (C-4), 69.1, 68.8 (C-6), 68.4, 68.3 (C-6' α , C -6'β), 68.0 (C-5'β), 66.6, 66.1 (C-5α, C-5β), 63.9 (C-5'α), 62.5, 62.4 (C-2'α, C-2'β), 60.3 (C-3'β), 59.1 (C-3'α), 55.6 (OMe); 13C-HMBC (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 103.4 (J_{C1,H1} = 161 Hz, C-1), 103.2 (J_{C1,H1} = 159 Hz, C-1), 101.4 ($J_{\text{Cl,H1}} = 164 \text{ Hz}$, C-1' β), 93.8 ($J_{\text{Cl,H1}} = 171 \text{ Hz}$, C-1' α); HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{40}H_{44}N_7O_{10}$ 782.31442, found 782.31459.

Methyl 6-O-(Methyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl uronate)-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranoside (29). Donor 8β and acceptor 20 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ -mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 29 (94%, α/β = 1:5.5). TLC R_f α 0.55, β 0.45 (toluene/ EtOAc, $3/1$, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1065, 1751,2106, 2916. Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.26–7.38 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.24 (t, 1H, J = 9.8 Hz, H-4'), 5.00 (d, 1H, $J = 10.9$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.87 (d, 1H, $J = 11.7$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.81 (d, 1H, $J = 10.9$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.78 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.64 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.55 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-1), 4.34 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.08–4.13 (m, 1H, H-6), 4.01 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, H-3), 3.77 - 3.84 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.79 (d, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz, H-5'), 3.73 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.71 (d, 1H, J = 3.5 Hz, H-2'), 3.46–3.53 (m, 2H, H-3', H-6), 3.45 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.2 Hz, H-2), 3.36 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, H-4), 3.35 (s, 3H, OMe), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 169.1, 166.8 (C=O Ac, CO₂Me), 138.6, 138.3, 138.0 (C_q Bn), 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.7, 127.6 (CH_{arom}), 100.2 (C-1'), 97.8 (C-1), 81.9 (C-3), 79.9 (C-2), 77.2 (C-4), 75.7, 74.5 (CH₂ Bn), 73.7 (C-5 or C-5'), 73.4 (CH₂ Bn), 69.4 (C-5 or C-5'), 68.9 (C-6), 67.4 (C-4'), 62.2 (C-2'), 60.9 (C-3'), 55.1 (OMe), 52.8 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 20.5 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 100.2 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 159 Hz, C-1'), 97.8 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 172 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{37}H_{42}N_{6}O_{11}Na$ 769.28038, found 769.28029.

Methyl 4-O-(Methyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl uronate)-2,3,6-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-gluco**pyranoside (30).** Donor 8β and acceptor 21 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/Tf₂O$ -mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 30 (49%, α/β = 1:3.5). TLC R_f 0.27, 0.38 (PE/EtOAc, $2/1, v/v$); IR (neat, cm $^{-1}$) 1041, 1751, 2106, 2924. Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer: ${}^{1}H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH $-$ COSY, HSQC) δ 7.22–7.45 (m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.10 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.02 $(d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.84 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.78$ $(d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.72 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.60$ $(d, 1H, J = 3.7 Hz, H-1)$, 4.57 $(d, 1H, J = 12.2 Hz, CHH Bn)$, 4.53 $(d, 1H,$ J = 0.9 Hz, H-1'), 4.36 (d, 1H, J = 12.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 3.93 (t, 1H, J = 9.0 Hz, H-3), 3.87 (t, 1H, J = 9.2 Hz, H-4), 3.72 – 3.77 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6), 3.62 (dd, 1H, J = 2.2, 10.8 Hz, H-6), 3.49–3.53 (m, 5H, H-2, H-5', CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.38 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.27 (dd, 1H, J = 0.4, 3.3 Hz, H-2'), 2.98 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 10.2 Hz, H-3'), 2.07 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR

 $(CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC)$ δ 169.2, 166.6 $(C=O$ Ac, $CO₂Me$), 139.3, 138.0, 137.6 (Cq Bn), 128.8, 128.7, 128.4, 128.1, 127.8, 127.3, 127.1 $(CH_{arom}), 100.2 (C-1'), 98.2 (C-1), 80.0 (C-4), 79.3 (C-2), 78.4 (C-3),$ 75.0 (CH₂ Bn), 73.8 (C-5'), 73.7, 73.4 (CH₂ Bn), 68.9 (C-5), 68.0 (C-6), 67.4 (C-4'), 62.5 (C-2'), 60.9 (C-3'), 55.4 (OMe), 52.6 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 20.5 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 100.2 (J_{C1,H1} = 159 Hz, C-1'), 98.2 $(J_{\text{C1},\text{H1}} = 168 \text{ Hz}, \text{C-1})$; Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer:
¹H NMP (CDCL 400 MHz HH $-C$ OSV HSOC) \land 7.28–7.40 ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.28-7.40 $(m, 15H, CH_{arom}), 5.40$ (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, H-1'), 5.29 (t, 1H, J = 8.2) Hz, H-4'), 5.11 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.74 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.61 - 4.65 (m, 2H, CHH Bn, H-1), 4.55 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.48 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.24 (d, 1H, J = 7.9 Hz, H-5'), 3.97 (t, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, H-3), 3.93 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 8.6 Hz, H-3'), 3.87 (t, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, H-4), 3.65 -3.77 (m, 3H, H-5, H-6), 3.59 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.55 -3.57 $(m, 1H, H-2), 3.52$ $(t, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-2'), 3.39$ $(s, 3H, OMe), 2.09$ (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); HRMS $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{37}H_{42}N_6O_{11}Na$ 769.28038, found 769.28022.

p-Methoxyphenyl 3-O-(Methyl 4-O-acetyl-2,3-diazido-2, 3-dideoxy- α/β -D-mannopyranosyl uronate)-2-O-benzyl-4, 6-O-benzylidene- β -p-galactopyranoside (31). Donor 8 β and acceptor 22 were condensed using the general protocol for $Ph₂SO/$ Tf₂O-mediated glycosylations to yield disaccharide 31 (89%, $\alpha/\beta = 1:7.5$). TLC R_f α 0.55, β 0.45 (toluene/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); IR $(neat, cm^{-1})$ 1057, 1219, 1504, 1751, 2106. Spectroscopic data for the β -anomer: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.52-7.56 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.28-7.44 (m, 8H, CH_{arom}), 7.06 (d, 2H, J = 9.1 Hz, CH_{arom}), 6.83 (d, 1H, J = 9.1 Hz, CH_{arom}), 5.59 (s, 1H, CH Ph), 5.13 (t, 1H, J = 10.0 Hz, H-4'), 5.09 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.87–4.89 (m, 2H, H-1, H-1'), 4.66 (d, 1H, J = 11.6 Hz, CHH Bn), $4.32 - 4.38$ (m, 2H, H-4, H-6), 4.18 (dd, 1H, J = 7.8, 9.9 Hz, H-2), 4.07 $(dd, 1H, J = 1.4, 12.4 Hz, H-6), 3.88 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.9 Hz, H-3), 3.78$ $(s, 3H, \text{OMe}), 3.72 (s, 3H, \text{CH}_3 \text{CO}_2 \text{Me}), 3.69 (d, 1H, J = 9.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-5}'),$ 3.50 (s, 1H, H-5), 3.32 (d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, H-2') 3.02 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 10.2 Hz, H-3'), 2.07 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 169.2, 166.9 (C=O Ac, CO₂Me), 155.3, 151.3, 138.6, 137.6 (Cq Ph, Bn), 128.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 126.3, 126.2, 118.5, 114.4 (CH_{arom} , 103.0 (C-1), 100.6 (C-1'), 100.4 (CH Ph), 79.2 (C-2), 77.0 (C-3), 75.4 (CH₂ Bn), 75.4 (C-4), 73.5 (C-5'), 68.7 (C-6), 67.4 (C-4'), 66.5 (C-5), 61.6 (C-2'), 61.0 (C-3'), 55.5 (OMe), 52.8 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 22.4 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 103.0 (J_{C1H1} = 158 Hz, C-1), 100.6 $(J_{C1,H1} = 161 \text{ Hz}, C-1')$; HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{36}H_{38}N_6O_{12}Na$ 769.24399, found 769.24405.

3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-azido-2-deoxy-1-O-(N-[phenyl]trifluoroacetimidoyl)- α/β -D-qlucopyranoside (36). Compound 35^{26a,b} (0.95 g, 2.87 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (25 mL), followed by the addition of N-(phenyl)trifluoroacetimidoyl chloride³⁴ (0.87 mL, 5.73 mmol), K_2CO_3 (0.48 g, 3.44 mmol), and H_2O (1 mL). After stirring for 1.5 h at rt, the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and the organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na2SO4, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 33% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title product as a yellowish oil (1.38 g, 2.76 mmol, 96%, $\alpha/\beta = 1.4:1$); TLC R_f 0.65 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 727, 907, 1209, 1747, 2114;
¹H NMP (CDCL 400 MHz, HH_COSY HSOC, T = 328 K) ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, T = 328 K) δ 7.27-7.33 (m, 4.8H, CH_{arom}), 7.09-7.15 (m, 2.4H, CH_{arom}), 6.82–6.87 (m, 4.8H, CH_{arom}), 6.43 (d, 1.4H, J = 2.6 Hz, H-1 α), 5.59 $(d, 1H, J = 8.2 \text{ Hz}, H - 1\beta)$, 5.48 $(t, 1.4H, J = 9.9 \text{ Hz}, H - 3\alpha)$, 5.11 $(t, 1.4H,$ $J = 9.7$ Hz, H-4 α), 5.00-5.08 (m, 2H, H-3 β , H-4 β), 4.21-4.30 (m, 2.4H, H-6 α , H-6 β), 4.07-4.14 (m, 3.8H, H-5 α , H-6 α , H-6 β), 3.69 -3.76 (m, 2.4H, H-2 α , H-2 β), 3.63 -3.69 (m, 1H, H-5 β), 2.09 $(s, 4.2H, CH₃ Ac- α), 2.08 $(s, 3H, CH₃ Ac- β), 2.06 $(s, 4.2H, CH₃ Ac- α),$$$ 2.04 (s, 7.2H, CH₃ Ac-α, CH₃ Ac-β), 1.99 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac-β); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.0, 169.9, 169.4, 169.4, 169.2,

169.1 (C=O Ac), 142.6 (C_o Ph), 128.6, 124.4, 118.9, 118.8 (CH_{arom}), 115.6 (q, J = 284 Hz, CF₃), 155.5 (q, J = 283 Hz, CF₃), 94.9 (C-1 β), 92.8 $(C-1\alpha)$, 72.3, 72.1 $(C-4)$, 70.2, 69.8 $(C-3, C-5)$, 67.5, 67.5 $(C-3, C-5)$, 62.4 (C-2), 61.1 (C-6, C-6), 60.1 (C-2), 20.1, 20.1, 20.5, 20.0 (CH₃ Ac); HRMS $[M(\text{hemiacetal})+Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{12}H_{17}N_3O_8N$ a 354.09079, found 354.09059.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl O-3,4,6-Tri-O-acetyl-2-azido-2-deoxy-R/β-D-glucopyranoside (37). Donor 36 (0.52 g, 1.04 mmol) andN-(benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentanol (0.51 g, 1.56 mmol) were together coevaporated with toluene $(2\times)$, dissolved in dry Et₂O (21 mL), and stirred on activated MS for 30 min at rt. The solution was cooled to $-40\degree C$, and TfOH (18 μ L, 0.21 mmol) was added. The mixture was allowed to warm to -10 °C in 1 h followed by the addition of Et_3N (0.1 mL). EtOAc was added, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in pyridine (6 mL) and treated with $Ac_2O(2 mL)$ for 2 h, followed by the addition of EtOAc. The solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) gave the title compound as a yellowish oil (0.64 g, 0.99 mmol, 95%, α/β = 7.4:1). TLC R_f 0.41 (PE/EtOAc, 3/2, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 698, 1030, 1219, 1694, 1746, 2108, 2922. Spectroscopic data for the α -anomer: $^1\rm H$ NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.13-7.40 (m, 10H, CH_{arom}), 5.47 (t, 1H, $J = 9.9$ Hz, H-3), 5.18 (d, 2H, $J = 13.1$ Hz, CH₂ Z), 5.04 (t, 1H, $J = 9.8$ Hz, H-4), 4.93 (d, 1H, $J = 12.0$ H, H-1), 4.50 (bs, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.28 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 12.2 Hz, H-6), 4.06 (d, 1H, J = 12.5 Hz, H-6), 3.94–4.02 (m, 1H, H-5), 3.60 -3.75 (m, 1H, CH₂), 3.35 -3.50 (m, 1H, CH₂), 3.26 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5, 10.6$ Hz, H-2), $3.18 - 3.30$ (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.07 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.03 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 1.45-1.70 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.24 – 1.42 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 170.2, 169.7, 169.3 (C=O Ac), 156.1 (d, J = 50 Hz, C=O Z), 137.7 (Cq Z), 136.6 (d, J = 10 Hz, Cq Bn), 128.3, 127.6, 127.0 (CH_{arom}), 97.5 (C-1), 70.0 (C-3), 68.3 (C-4), 68.3 (CH₂), 67.3 (C-5), 66.8 (CH₂ Z), 61.6 (C-6), 60.5 (C-2), 50.1 (d, J = 32 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.3 (d, J = 91 Hz, CH₂), 28.7 (CH₂), 27.3 (d, J = 48 Hz, CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 20.4, 20.3 (CH₃ Ac); (CH₂), 27.3 (d, J = 48 Hz, CH₂), 23.0 (CH₂), 20.4, 20.3 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 97.5 (J_{C1,H1} = 171 Hz, C-1). Diagnostic peak for the β -anomer: ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 101.7 (C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{32}H_{40}N_4O_{10}Na$ 663.26366, found 663.26356.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl O-2-Azido-2 deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (38). Compound 37 (0.64 g, 0.99 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (10 mL) and treated with NaOMe (cat.) for 4 h until full consumption of the starting material was indicated by TLC analysis. The mixture was neutralized by the addition of Amberlite-H⁺, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. The title compound was used in the next reaction step without further purification. TLC R_f 0.18 (PE/EtOAc, 1/3, v/v); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1028, 1682, 2106, 2930, 3552. Spectroscopic data for the α-anomer: ¹H NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.64-7.71 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.08-7.33 (m, 8H, CH_{arom}), 5.10 (d, 1H, J = 17.1 Hz, CH₂ Z), 4.80 (bs, 1H, H-1), 4.43 (bs, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 3.85 (t, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, H-3), 3.77 $(d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, H-6), 3.69 (dd, 1H, J = 5.0, 11.9 Hz, H-6), 3.49-3.64 (m,$ 2H, H-5, CH₂), 3.36 (t, 1H, J = 9.3 Hz, H-4), 3.25–3.32 (m, 1H, CH₂), $3.12 - 3.24$ (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.02 (dd, 1H, J = 2.9, 10.4 Hz, H-2), 1.39-1.60 $(m, 4H, CH₂), 1.20-1.38$ $(m, 2H, CH₂);$ ¹³C-APT NMR (MeOH- $d₄$, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 157.8 (d, J = 53 Hz, C=O Z), 138.7 (d, J = 9 Hz, C_q Z), 137.5 (d, J = 11 Hz, C_q Bn), 129.3, 128.6, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0 (CH_{arom}), 99.0 $(C-1)$, 73.3 $(C-5)$, 72.1 $(C-3)$, 71.7 $(C-4)$, 68.5, 68.2 $(CH_2, CH_2 Z)$, 64.0 $(C-2)$, 62.1 $(C-6)$, 51.2 $(d, J = 19 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_2 \text{ Bn})$, 47.6 $(d, J = 88 \text{ Hz}, \text{CH}_2)$, 29.8 (CH₂), 28.4 (d, J = 46 Hz, CH₂), 24.1 (CH₂). Diagnostic peak for the β -anomer: ¹³C-APT NMR (MeOH- d_4 , 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 102.9 (C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{26}H_{34}N_4O_7N_8$ 537.23197, found 537.23153.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl O-2-Azido-4, 6-O-di-tert-butylsilylidene-2-deoxy-R-D-glucopyranoside (39).

Compound 38 (0.52 mmol) was coevaporated with toluene $(2\times)$ and dissolved in dry DMF (5 mL) under an argon atmosphere. The solution was cooled to -40 °C, and di-tert-butylsilylbistriflate (0.19 mL, 0.6 mmol) was dropwise added. The reaction was stirred for 1.5 h, followed by the addition of pyridine (0.2 mL). The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 14% EtOAc in PE) gave the title compound as a colorless oil (0.26 g, 0.39 mmol, 76%): TLC R_f 0.64 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); $[\alpha]^{20}$ $_{\rm D}$ +52.2 $(c$ 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm $^{-1})$ 827, 1088, 1688, 2108, 2858, 2934, 3429; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, $T = 328$ K) δ 7.16-7.34 (m, 10H, CH_{arom}), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 4.75 $(d, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-1)$, 4.48 $(s, 2H, CH₂ Bn)$, 4.07 $(dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 9.6$ Hz, H-6), 3.97 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.6$, 10.1 Hz, H-3), 4.83 (t, 1H, $J = 10.0$ Hz, H-6), 3.75 (ddd, 1H, J = 4.5, 9.4, 9.4 Hz, H-5), 3.65 (t, 1H, J = 8.8 Hz, H-4), 3.57 -3.63 (m, 1H, CH₂), 3.36 -3.44 (m, 1H, CH₂), 3.18 -3.27 $(m, 2H, CH₂), 3.14 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 10.2 Hz, H-2), 2.85 (bs, 1H, 3-OH),$ 1.48-1.62 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.25-1.38 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.06 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.99 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 156.3 (d, J = 48 Hz, C=O Z), 137.7, 136.7 (C_q Bn), 128.4, 128.3, 127.8, 127.7, 127.1 (CH_{arom}), 98.0 (C-1), 77.9 (C-4), 71.3 (C-3), 68.1 (CH₂), 67.0 (CH₂ Z), 66.3 (C-6), 66.0 (C-5), 62.1 (C-2), 50.3 (d, J = 30 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.5 (d, J = 95 Hz, CH₂), 28.9, 27.7 (CH₂), 27.3, 26.8 (CH_3tBu) , 23.3 (CH₂), 22.5, 19.8 (C_qtBu); HRMS $[M + Na]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{34}H_{50}N_4O_7SiNa$ 677.33410, found 677.33397.

Methyl (Phenyl 4-O-[2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-6-O-{9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl}-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio- β -D-mannopyranosyl Uronate) (41). Imidate 40^{3b} (0.55 g, 0.65 mmol) and acceptor 16β (0.18 g, 0.5 mmol) were together coevaporated with dry toluene $(2\times)$. Et₂O (13 mL, dried over 4 Å MS prior to use) was added, and the mixture was cooled to -40 °C. TfOH (9 μ L, 0.1 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to -10 °C. Then pyridine (0.1 mL) was added, and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc and washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$. The organic layer was dried over $Na₂SO₄$, concentrated in vacuo, and purified using column chromatography (silica gel, 20% EtOAc in PE) to yield the title compound as a colorless oil (0.48 g, 0.48 mmol, 96%): TLC R_f 0.54 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ +36.6 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 696, 737, 1070, 1252, 1744, 2106; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.75 (d, 2H, $J = 7.6$ Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.59 (dd, 2H, $J = 7.6$, 11.1 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.20 - 7.45 (m, 24H, CH_{arom}), 5.00 (d, 1H, J = 3.9 Hz, H-1'), 4.99 (d, 1H, J = 11.4 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.89 (d, 1H, J = 4.89 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.67-4.81 (m, 4H, CHH Bn, CH₂ Bn, H-1), 4.57 (d, 1H, J = 10.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.33-4.44 (m, 3H, CH₂ Fmoc, H-6[']), 4.30 (dd, 1H, J = 2.5, 11.9 Hz, H-6[']), 4.22 (t, 1H, J = 7.3 Hz, CH Fmoc), $4.12-4.17$ (m, 2H, H-2, H-4), 3.94 (t, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-3'), 3.80 (d, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-5), 3.72 – 3.77 (m, 4H, H-5', CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.70 $(dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.8$ Hz, H-3), 3.60 $(t, 1H, J = 9.5$ Hz, H-4'), 3.52 $(dd, 1H, J = 1.5$ J = 3.3, 9.8 Hz, H-2'); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 166.7 (C=O CO₂Me), 154.8 (C=O Fmoc), 143.3, 143.1, 141.1 (C_q Fmoc), 138.5, 137.9, 137.8 (Cq Bn), 133.2 (Cq SPh), 131.3, 129.2, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.1, 125.0, 125.0, 119.9 (CH_{arom}), 99.6 (C-1'), 87.3 (C-1), 81.0 (C-3'), 79.9, 79.9 (C-2', C-5),76.5, 76.3 (C-4, C-4'), 75.5, 75.1, 73.5 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 70.1 $(C-5')$, 69.7 $(CH_2 Fmoc)$, 65.8 $(C-3)$, 65.7 $(C-6')$, 64.4 (C-2), 52.9 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 46.6 (CH Fmoc); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 99.6 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 172 Hz, C-1'), 87.3 ($J_{\text{C1,H1}}$ = 155 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + Na]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{55}H_{52}N_{6}O_{11}SNa$ 1027.33070, found 1027.33138.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl O-(Methyl 4-O-[2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-6-O-{9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl}- R-D-glucopyranosyl]-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate)-2-azido-4,6-O-di-tert-butylsilylidene-2 deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (42). Compound 41 (0.29 g, 0.29 mmol) and $Ph₂SO$ (70 mg, 0.35 mmol) were together coevaporated with toluene $(2\times)$. Freshly distilled DCM (5.8 mL) and activated molecular sieves (3 Å)

were added under an argon atmosphere, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min, followed by cooling to -80 °C. Tf₂O (59 μ L, 0.35 mmol) was added, and the mixture was allowed to warm to $-60\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ in 15 min. After cooling back to -80 °C, a solution of compound 39 (0.26 g, 0.39 mmol) in DCM (2 mL) was added. The reaction was warmed to -10 °C in 4 h, after which time pyridine (0.2 mL) was added. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by size-exclusion chromatography (Sephadex LH-20, eluted with DCM/MeOH, 1/1, v/v) gave the title compound as a colorless oil (0.45 g, 0.29 mmol, >98%): TLC R_f 0.36 (PE/EtOAc, 3/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +30.8 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 698, 739, 1043, 1072, 1094, 1256, 1697, 1749, 2108, 2934; ¹H NMR $(CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, T = 328 K) \delta 7.71 (d, 2H, J = 7.6$ Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.57 (t, 2H, J = 7.6 Hz, CH_{arom}), 7.18-7.40 (m, 29H, CH_{arom}), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 5.09 (d, 1H, J = 3.1 Hz, H-1"), 4.97 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.92 (s, 1H, H-1′), 4.87 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.78 (d, 2H, J = 11.4 Hz, H-1, CHH Bn), 4.70–4.75 (m, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 10.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.49 (s, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.35 - 4.42 (m, $3H, H-6''$, CH₂ Fmoc), 4.31 (dd, 1H, J = 2.1, 11.8 Hz, H-6"), 4.21 (t, 1H, J = 7.5 Hz, CH Fmoc), 4.16 (t, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-4'), 4.01–4.08 (m, 2H, H-2' , H-6), 3.89–3.96 (m, 2H, H-3, H-3"), 3.78–3.89 (m, 3H, H-4, H-5', H-6), 3.69 – 3.78 (m, 2H, H-5, H-5"), 3.67 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.55 – 3.62 (m, 2H, H-4", CHH CH₂), 3.48–3.54 (m, 2H, H-2", H-3'), 3.36–3.45 (m, 1H, CHH CH₂), 3.27 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 10.1 Hz, H-2), 3.20 - 3.26 (m, 2H, CH₂), $1.48-1.64$ (m, 4H, CH₂), $1.26-1.38$ (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.04 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.97 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 166.9 $(C=O CO₂Me)$, 156.3 (d, J = 50 Hz, C=O Z), 154.8 (C=O Fmoc), 143.3, 143.1, 141.1, 141.1 (Cq Fmoc), 138.5, 137.8, 137.8, 137.7 (Cq Bn), 136.7 (d, J = 17 Hz, Cq Bn), 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.2, $127.0 \, (\text{CH}_{\text{arom}})$, 125.0, 124.9, 119.9 (CH_{arom} Fmoc), 101.0 (C-1'), 99.1 (C-1"), 97.4 (C-1), 80.9 (C-3"), 79.7 (C-2"), 79.4 (C-3), 76.7 (C-5'), 76.4 (C- $(4'')$, 76.0 (C-4), 75.5 (C-4'), 75.4, 75.0, 73.3 (CH₂ Bn), 69.9 (C-5''), 69.7 $(CH_2$ Fmoc), 68.1 (CH₂), 67.0 (CH₂ Z), 66.6 (C-5), 66.3 (C-6), 65.6 (C- $(6'')$, 63.4 (C-3'), 62.6 (C-2'), 62.5 (C-2), 52.6 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 50.3 (d, J = 24 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.6 (CH Fmoc), 46.4 (d, J = 109 Hz, CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 27.5 (d, $J = 33$ Hz, CH₂), 27.2, 26.8 (CH₃tBu), 23.3 (CH₂), 22.5, 19.7 $(C_q$ fBu); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 101.0 (J_{C1,H1} = 158 Hz, C-1'), 99.1 ($J_{\text{C1},\text{H1}}$ = 170 Hz, C-1"), 97.4 ($J_{\text{C1},\text{H1}}$ = 170 Hz, C-1); HRMS [M + $NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{83}H_{100}N_{11}O_{18}Si$ 1566.70116, found 1566.70311.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl O-(Methyl 4-O-[2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-R-D-glucopyranosyl]-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate)-2-azido-4,6-Odi-tert-butylsilylidene-2-deoxy-α-D-glucopyranoside (43). Compound 42 (0.39 g, 0.25 mmol) was dissolved in pyridine (5 mL) and treated with triethylamine (0.53 mL, 3.79 mmol) for 3 h, followed by addition of EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with H₂O ($1\times$) and saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 33% EtOAc in PE) furnished the title compound as a colorless oil (0.32 g, 0.24 mmol, 94%): TLC R_f 0.36 (PE/EtOAc, 2/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ +18.8 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 1028, 1072, 1686, 1751, 2108, 2858, 2934; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, $T = 328$ K) δ 7.18 -7.40 (m, 25H, CH_{arom}), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 5.00 $(d, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-1'')$, 4.93 $(d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn)$, 4.91 $(d, 1H, J = 0.8 Hz, H-1'), 4.84 (d, 1H, J = 11.1 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.81$ $(d, 1H, J = 3.3 Hz, H-1), 4.77 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.71 (s, 2H,$ $CH₂$ Bn), 4.60 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.50 (bs, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.14 (t, 1H, J = 9.4 Hz, H-4'), 4.05 (dd, 1H, J = 4.6, 9.8 Hz, H-6), 4.02 (d, 1H, J = 3.1 Hz, H-2'), 3.78–3.93 (m, 5H, H-3, H-3", H-5', H-5", H-6), 3.70-3.78 (m, 2H, H-5, H-6"), 3.66 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), $3.55 - 3.64$ (m, 3H, H-4, H-6", CHH CH₂), 3.53 (dd, 1H, J = 3.3, 9.7 Hz, H-3'), 3.35–3.47 (m, 3H, H-2", H-4", CHH CH₂), 3.28 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 10.0 Hz, H-2), 3.20-3.27 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.02 (bs, 1H, 6^{$''$}-OH), $1.48-1.63$ (m, 4H, CH₂), $1.25-1.38$ (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.04 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.97 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 167.1 (C=O CO₂Me), 156.2 (d, J = 53 Hz, C=O Z), 138.5, 137.9, 137.7 (C_q Bn), 136.6 (d, J = 17 Hz, C_q Bn), 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 127.4, $127.1, 127.0 \, (\text{CH}_{\text{arom}}), 100.9 \, (\text{C-1}'), 98.7 \, (\text{C-1}''), 97.3 \, (\text{C-1}), 80.8 \, (\text{C-3}''),$ 79.7 (C-2″), 79.4 (C-3), 77.2 (C-4″), 76.8 (C-5′), 75.9 (C-5″), 75.4 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 74.9 $(C4')$, 74.9, 73.3 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 72.6 $(C4)$, 68.0 (CH_2) , 67.0 $(CH₂ Z)$, 66.5 (C-5), 66.3 (C-6), 63.1 (C-3'), 62.5 (C-2'), 62.4 (C-2), 61.4 $(C-6'')$, 52.5 $(CH_3 CO_2Me)$, 50.2 (d, J = 25 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.4 (d, J = 108 Hz, CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 27.4 (d, J = 34 Hz, CH₂), 27.1, 26.8 (CH₃tBu), 23.2 (d, J = 11 Hz, CH₂), 22.4, 19.6 (C_qtBu); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 100.9 (J_{C1,H1} = 160 Hz, C-1'), 98.7 (J_{C1,H1} = 169 Hz, C-1"), 97.3 $(J_{C1,H1} = 170 \text{ Hz}, C-1)$; HRMS $[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{68}H_{86}N_{10}O_{16}SiNa$ 1349.58847, found 1349.58962.

Methyl (Phenyl 2,3-diazido-4-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2,3-dideoxy-1-thio- β -D-mannopyranosyl Uronate) (44). Compound 16β (0.35 g, 1.0 mmol) was dissolved in dry DCM (20 mL) and cooled to 0 °C, followed by the addition of $\mathrm{Et}_3\mathrm{N}$ (0.84 mL, 6 mmol) and TBS-OTf (0.45 mL, 2 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred overnight at rt. Saturated aqueous $NaHCO₃$ was added, and the mixture was diluted with EtOAc. The organic fraction was separated, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title compound as amorphous white solids $(0.41 \text{ g}, 0.88 \text{ mmol}, 88\%)$: TLC R_f 0.67 (PE/EtOAc, 4/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20}$ – 10.6 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 827, 1057, 1441, 1742, 2106, 2927; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC) δ 7.38–7.43 (m, 2H, CH_{arom}), 7.24–7.30 (m, 3H, CH_{arom}), 4.87 (s, 1H, H-1), 4.20 (d, 1H, $J = 3.2$ Hz, H-2), 4.04 (t, 1H, $J = 9.3$ Hz, H-4), 3.78 (d, 1H, $J = 9.2$ Hz, H-5), 3.73 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.56 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.5$, 9.5 Hz, H-3), 0.83 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.18 (s, 3H, CH₃ Me), 0.01 (s, 3H, CH₃ Me); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 167.3 (C=O $CO₂Me$), 133.4 (C_q SPh), 131.2, 129.1, 127.9 (CH_{arom}), 87.2 (C-1), 80.8 (C-5), 68.1 (C-3), 67.6 (C-2), 64.8 (C-4), 52.4 (CH₃ CO₂Me), 25.5 (CH₃tBu), 17.8 (C_qtBu), -4.8, -5.3 (CH₃ Me); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCl_3, 100 MHz) \delta 87.2 (J_{C1,H1} = 155 Hz, C-1); HRMS [M + NH₄]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{19}H_{32}N_7O_4SSi$ 482.20003, found 482.20002.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl 3-O-(Methyl 4-O-[6-O-{methyl 2,3-diazido-4-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate}-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl- α -D-glucopyranosyl]-2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy- β -D-mannopyranosyl Uronate)-2-azido-4,6-O-di-tert-butylsilylidene-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (45). Compound 44 (30 mg, 65 μ mol), Ph₂SO (13 mg, 65 μ mol), and TTBP (32 mg, 130 μ mol) were together coevaporated with toluene $(2\times)$. Freshly distilled DCM (1.5 mL) and activated molecular sieves (3 Å) were added under an argon atmosphere, and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 20 min, followed by cooling to -80 °C. Tf₂O (11 μ L, 65 μ mol) was added, and the mixture was stirred at -80 °C for 20 min. Then a solution of compound 43 (95 mg, 71 μ mol) in DCM (1 mL) was added. The reaction was stirred overnight at -30 °C and subsequently warmed to -10 °C, followed by the addition of triethylamine (0.1 mL). The mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 20% EtOAc in PE) and subsequent size-exclusion chromatography (Sephadex LH-20, eluted with DCM/ MeOH, 1/1, v/v) to remove hydrolyzed donor gave the title compound as a colorless oil (81 mg, 48 μ mol, 74%): TLC R_f 0.33 (PE/EtOAc, 4/1, v/v); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +10.9 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 696, 727, 907, 1692, 1751, 2106, 2931; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, $T = 328$ K, tentatively assigned based on ¹H NMR of compound 42) δ 7.13-7.36 (m, 25H, CH_{arom}), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 5.02 (d, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-1"), 4.92 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.87 (s, 1H, H-1'), 4.76–4.83 (m, 2H, H-1,CHH Bn), 4.72 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), $4.63-4.69$ (m, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.58 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.47

 $(s, 2H, CH_2 Bn), 4.32 (s, 1H, H-1'''), 4.07 (t, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4'),$ $3.96-4.03$ (m, 2H, H-2', H-6), $3.89-3.96$ (m, 2H, H-4''', H-6"), $3.75-3.89$ (m, 5H, H-3, H-3", H-5", H-5", H-6), $3.65-3.75$ (m, 5H, H-2"', H-5, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.54-3.64 (m, 7H, H-4, H-5"', H-6", CH₂, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.43–3.48 (m, 2H, H-3', H-4"), 3.35–3.42 (m, 2H, H-2", CH₂), 3.26 (dd, 1H, J = 3.6, 9.9 Hz, H-2), 3.17-3.24 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.12 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.5 Hz, H-3^{$\prime\prime\prime$}), 1.46-1.63 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.25 – 1.37 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.01 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.94 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.83 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.14 (s, 3H, CH₃ Me), 13 C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC, tentatively assigned based on ¹³C-APT NMR of compound 42) δ 167.7, 167.0 (C=O CO₂Me), 156.3 (d, J = 53 Hz, C=O Z), 138.6, 138.5, 137.9, 137.8 (C_q Bn), 136.7 $(d, J = 18 \text{ Hz}, C_q \text{ Bn})$, 128.3, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.5, 127.1 (CH_{arom}), 101.0 (C-1'), 100.0 (C-1'''), 98.8 (C-1''), 97.4 (C-1), 81.1 (C-3''), 79.6 $(C-2'', C-3)$, 77.5 $(C-5''), 76.9 (C-5''), 76.0, 75.9 (C-4'', C-5'), 75.3$ $(CH_2 Bn)$, 74.7 (C-4'), 74.4, 73.4 (CH₂ Bn), 70.9 (C-4), 68.2 (CH₂), 67.7 (C-4 $''$), 67.2, 67.1 (C-6", CH₂ Z), 66.6 (C-5), 66.4 (C-6), 64.9 (C- (3^{11}) , 63.3 (C-3¹), 62.7 (C-2¹), 62.5, 62.4 (C-2, C-2¹¹¹), 52.7, 52.3 (CH₃) CO₂Me), 50.3 (d, J = 26 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.5 (d, J = 107 Hz, CH₂), 28.9 $(d, J = 7 Hz, CH₂), 27.5 (d, J = 35 Hz, CH₂), 27.2, 26.9, 25.5 (CH₃tbu),$ 23.3 (d, J = 10 Hz, CH₂), 22.5, 19.7, 17.9 (C_qtBu), -4.7, -5.3 (CH₃ Me); ¹³C-GATED (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 101.0 (J_{C1,H1} = 157 Hz, C-1'), 100.0 $(J_{C1,H1} = 160 \text{ Hz}, C1''')$, 98.8 $(J_{C1,H1} = 168 \text{ Hz}, C1'')$, 97.4 $(J_{\rm C1,H1} = 169 \text{ Hz}, \text{C-1})$; HRMS $[\text{M} + \text{NH}_4]^+$ calcd for $\text{C}_{81}\text{H}_{112}\text{N}_{17}\text{O}_{20}\text{Si}_2$ 1698.78026, found 1698.78165.

N-(Benzyl)benzyloxycarbonyl-5-aminopentyl 3-O-(Methyl - 4-O-[6-O-{methyl 2,3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate}-2,3,4-tri-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-2, 3-diazido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate)-2-azido-2-deoxy- α -D-glucopyranoside (46). A solution of compound 45 (69 mg, 41 μ mol) in THF (1 mL) was cooled to 0 °C and treated with acetic acid (9 μ L, 0.16 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1 M in THF, 82 μ L, 82 μ mol). The resulting solution was stirred for 3 h, followed by the addition of H_2O and EtOAc. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, concentrated in vacuo, and purified using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 50% EtOAc in PE) to yield the 4'"-OTBS-protected intermediate as a colorless oil (60 mg, 39 μ mol, 96%). Spectroscopic data are reported for the $4'''$ -OTBS-protected intermediate: TLC R_f 0.44 (PE/EtOAc, $1/1$, v/v); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, T = 328 K) δ 7.19–7.41 (m, 25H, CH_{arom}), 5.20 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 5.06 (d, 1H, $J = 3.3$ Hz, H-1"), 4.97 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.83–4.93 (m, 3H, CHH Bn, H-1, H-1'), 4.78 (d, 1H, J = 11.3 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.75 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.69 (d, 1H, J = 11.7 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.63 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.52 (s, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.33 $(s, 1H, H-1'''), 4.16 (t, 1H, J = 8.7 Hz, H-4'), 4.10 (d, 1H, J = 1.2 Hz, H-2'),$ 4.05 (d, 1H, $J = 8.4$ Hz, H-5'), 3.85–4.02 (m, 4H, H-3, H-3", H-6, H-6"), 3.77-3.82 (m, 1H, H-6), 3.74 (s, 6H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.54-3.72 $(m, 9H, H-2''', H-3', H-4, H-4''', H-5, H-5'', H-5''', H-6'', CH_2),$ $3.40 - 3.50$ (m, 3H, H-2", H-4", CH₂), 3.37 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 10.2 Hz, H-2), 3.28 (bt, 2H, J = 5.6 Hz, CH2), 3.17 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 9.5 Hz, H-3 $''$), 1.52-1.68 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.32-1.40 (m, 2H, CH₂), 0.88 (s, 9H, CH₃tBu), 0.20 (s, 3H, CH₃ Me), 0.02 (s, 3H, CH₃ Me); ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, HSQC) δ 167.7, 167.2 (C=O CO₂Me), 156.4 (d, J = 50 Hz, C=O Z), 138.5, 138.4, 137.9, 137.8 (C_q), 136.7 (d, J = 23 Hz, Cq Bn), 128.5, 128.5, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, $127.2 \text{ (CH}_{\text{arom}})$, 100.6 (C-1'), 100.2 (C-1''), 98.2 (C-1''), 97.0 (C-1), 83.8 (C-3), 81.1 (C-3"), 79.7 (C-2"), 77.5 (C-5'''), 76.2 (C-5'), 75.8 $(C-4'')$, 75.4, 74.5 $(CH_2 \, Bn)$, 74.1 $(C-4')$, 73.5 $(CH_2 \, Bn)$, 71.3, 71.0 $(C-4, C-5'')$, 69.6 $(C-5)$, 67.9 $(CH₂)$, 67.7 $(C-4''')$, 67.4 $(C-6'')$, 67.1 $(CH₂ Z)$, 64.9 $(C₋₃''')$, 62.7 $(C₋₃'')$, 62.4 $(C₋₂''')$, 62.4 $(C₋₆)$, 62.1, 62.0 $(C-2, C-2')$, 53.2, 52.4 $(CH_3 CO_2Me)$, 50.3 $(d, J = 20 Hz, CH_2 Bn)$, 46.4 (d, $J = 111$ Hz, CH₂), 28.8 (CH₂), 28.0 (d, $J = 51$ Hz, CH₂), 25.5 (CH_3tBu) , 23.2 (CH₂), 17.9 (C_qtBu), -4.7, -5.2 (CH₃Me); ¹³C-GATED

 $(CDCl_3, 100 MHz) \delta 100.6 (J_{C1,H1} = 162 Hz, C-1'), 100.2 (J_{C1,H1} =$ 160 Hz, C-1'''), 98.2 $(J_{C1,H1} = 171 \text{ Hz}, \text{C-1}^{\prime\prime})$, 97.0 $(J_{C1,H1} = 169 \text{ Hz}, \text{C-1})$. The $4^{\prime\prime\prime}$ -OTBS-protected intermediate (84 mg, 55 μ mol) was dissolved in THF (0.5 mL) and treated with acetic acid (13 μ L, 0.22 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1 M solution in THF, 0.17 mL, 0.17 mmol) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 2 days, after which time H2O and EtOAc were added. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using flash column chromatography (silica gel, 75% EtOAc in PE) yielded the title compound as a colorless foam (60 mg, 42 μmol, 75%): TLC R_f 0.31 (PE/EtOAc, 1/2, v/v); [α]²⁰_D +28.1 (c 1, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 698, 731, 1028, 1070, 1683, 1749, 2102, 2927, 3495 ; ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, T = 328 K) δ 7.14–7.39 (m, 25H, CH_{arom}), 5.17 (s, 2H, CH₂ Z), 5.07 (d, 1H, J = 3.4 Hz, H-1"), 4.95 (d, 1H, $J = 11.1$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.89 (d, 1H, $J =$ 0.9 Hz, H-1'), 4.83–4.87 (m, 2H, CHH Bn, H-1), 4.76 (d, 1H, J = 11.2 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.73 (d, 1H, J = 11.9 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.67 (d, 1H, J = 11.8 Hz, CHH Bn), 4.60 (d, 1H, $J = 11.8$ Hz, CHH Bn), 4.50 (s, 2H, CH₂ Bn), 4.31 (s, 1H, H-1¹¹¹), 4.16 (t, 1H, J = 8.6 Hz, H-4'), 4.06–4.10 (m, 2H, H-2', H-5'), 4.02 (t, 1H, J = 9.5 Hz, H-4'''), 3.96–4.01 (m, 1H, H-6"), 3.83-3.95 (m, 3H, H-3, H-3", H-6), 3.77-3.80 (m, 4H, H-6, CH_3 CO₂Me), 3.75 (s, 3H, CH₃ CO₂Me), 3.63-3.72 (m, 5H, H-2¹¹¹, H-5, $H-5''$, $H-5'''$, $H-6''$), $3.53-3.62$ (m, $3H$, $H-3'$, $H-4$, CH_2), $3.38-3.47$ $(m, 3H, H-2'', H-4'', CH₂), 3.35 (dd, 1H, J = 3.4, 7.1 Hz, H-2), 3.33 (dd,$ 1H, $J = 3.3$, 6.7 Hz, H-3^{$\prime\prime\prime$}), 3.26 (bt, 2H, $J = 5.7$ Hz, CH₂), 1.52-1.65 $(m, 4H, CH₂), 1.30-1.40$ $(m, 2H, CH₂);$ ¹³C-APT NMR (CDCl₃, 100) MHz, HSQC, tentatively assigned based on ¹³C-APT NMR of compound 45) δ 169.4, 167.5 (C=O CO₂Me), 156.5 (d, J = 50 Hz, C=O Z), 138.5, 138.4, 137.9, 137.8 (C_q Bn), 136.7 (d, J = 31 Hz, C_q Bn), 128.5, 128.4, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6 (CH_{arom}), 100.6 (C-1'), 100.1 (C-1'''), 98.2 (C-1''), 97.0 (C-1), 83.7 (C-3), 81.2 (C-3''), 79.6 $(C-2'')$, 76.1 $(C-4'', C-5')$, 75.5 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 74.8 $(C-5'')$, 74.5 $(CH_2 Bn)$, 73.9 (C-4'), 73.6 (CH₂ Bn), 71.0 (C-5, C-5"), 69.7 (C-4), 68.0 (C-6"), 67.8 (d, J = 9 Hz, CH₂), 67.4 (C-4^{$\prime\prime\prime$}), 67.2 (CH₂ Z), 62.7 (C-3^{*'*}), 62.5 $(C-6)$, 62.4 $(C-3''')$, 62.2 $(C-2, C-3')$, 61.9 $(C-2', C-2''')$, 53.2, 52.8 $(CH_3 CO_2Me)$, 50.3 (d, J = 18 Hz, CH₂ Bn), 46.5 (d, J = 114 Hz, CH₂), 28.9 (CH₂), 27.5 (d, $J = 50$ Hz, CH₂), 23.2 (CH₂); ¹³C-GATED $(CDCI_3, 100 MHz)$ δ 100.6 $(J_{C1,H1} = 162 Hz, C-1')$, 100.1 $(J_{C1,H1} =$ 159 Hz, H-1^{$\prime\prime\prime$}), 98.2 (J_{C1,H1} = 166 Hz, C-1^{$\prime\prime$}), 97.0 (J_{C1,H1} = 169 Hz, C-1); HRMS $[M + NH_4]^+$ calcd for $C_{67}H_{82}N_{17}O_{20}$ 1444.59165, found 1444.59310.

5-Aminopentyl 3-O-(4-O-[6-O-{2,3-Di-N-acetamido-2, 3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate}-α-D-glucopyranosyl]-2,3-di-N-acetamido-2,3-dideoxy-β-D-mannopyranosyl Uronate)- 2-N-acetamido-2-deoxy-a-D-glucopyranoside (47). Compound 46 (85 mg, 60 μ mol) was dissolved in THF (1 mL) and treated with a freshly prepared solution of aqueous KOOH (0.36 mL, 0.5 M, KOH/H₂O₂ = 1:2) at 0 °C. The resulting solution was stirred at $+4$ °C overnight, after which time the mixture was neutralized by the addition of 1 M aqueous HCl (pH \sim 7). EtOAc was added, and the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(2\times)$. The combined aqueous layers were extracted with EtOAc ($1\times$), and the organic fractions were together dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give the crude diacid as a colorless oil (83 mg, 59 μmol): TLC R_f 0.09 (EtOAc/MeOH, 9/1, v/v + 1% AcOH); $[α]^{20}$ _D +42.0 (c 0.2, DCM); IR (neat, cm⁻¹) 698, 735, 1028, 1072, 1605, 1694, 2106, 2924, 3437. The presence oftwo uronic acid moieties resulted in such broadening of the NMR signals that accurate assignment was impossible; however, the disappearance of the CO₂Me-signals was confirmed: HRMS $[M + H]^{+}$ calcd for $C_{65}H_{75}N_{16}O_{20}$ 1399.53380, found 1399.53576. The crude diacid (\sim 83 mg) was dissolved in THF/acetic acid (6 mL, 4/1, v/v) and treated with zinc dust (0.29 g, 4.43 mmol) overnight. Full conversion to the free amine-containing product was verified using LC-MS (R_t = 6.91 min, 10% \rightarrow 90% B in C). The mixture was subsequently filtrated over a Whatmann filter-containing glass-filter funnel using DCM/MeOH, and the

filtrate was concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in THF/ H_2O $(4 \text{ mL}, 1/1)$, and the mixture was basicified by the addition of solid NaHCO₃ (pH > 8). Acetic anhydride (0.11 mL, 1.18 mmol) was added, and the reaction was allowed to stir at rt until LC-MS analysis indicated complete conversion to the penta-N-acetamido intermediate (R_t = 9.00 min, $10\% \rightarrow 90\%$ B in C). The mixture was diluted with DCM, washed with saturated aqueous NaCl $(1\times)$, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in THF/H₂O $(4 \text{ mL}, 1/1)$ and treated with 0.45 M aqueous KOH (0.13 mL) to remove any O-acetyls. The mixture was then acidified by the addition of 1 M aqueous HCl ($pH < 5$) and purged with argon. Palladium on activated charcoal (10 wt %, ∼20 mg) was added, and the resulting suspension was consecutively purged with argon and $H_2(g)$. The mixture was allowed to stir at rt under a blanket of H_2 . When analysis by LC-MS indicated no further conversion to the product, extra palladium black was added and H_2 was again applied. Subsequently, the mixture was filtered through a Whatmann filter-containing glass-filter funnel, neutralized by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification using HPLC and lyophilization resulted in the title compound as a white fluffy solid $(12 \text{ mg}, 12 \mu \text{mol}, 20\% \text{ over}$ five steps): ¹H NMR (D₂O, 600 MHz, HH-COSY, HSQC, T = 313 K) δ 5.25 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$ Hz, H-1"), 5.09 (s, 1H, H-1_{Man}), 5.04 (s, 1H, H-1_{Man}), 4.97 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$ Hz, H-1), 4.63 (d, 1H, $J = 2.3$ Hz, H-2_{Man}), 4.42– 4.47 (m, 2H, H-2_{Man}, H-3_{Man}), 4.22 (dd, 1H, J = 3.5, 10.6 Hz, H-3_{Man}), 4.12-4.18 (m, 2H, H-2, H-6"), 4.04-4.08 (m, 3H, H-4 $_{\text{Many}}$ H-5 $_{\text{Many}}$ H-6"), 3.97-4.04 (m, 3H, H-3, H-5_{Man}, H-6), 3.88-3.95 (m, 2H, H-5", H-6), 3.79-3.87 (m, 3H, H-4_{Man}, H-5, CHH O-CH₂), 3.74 (t, 1H, J = 10.7 Hz, H-3"), 3.70 (t, 1H, $J = 9.5$ Hz, H-4), 3.63 - 3.67 (m, 1H, CHH O-CH₂), 3.59 (t, 1H, $J = 9.6$ Hz, H-4"), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.6$, 9.8 Hz, H-2"), 3.17 (t, 2H, J = 7.4 Hz, CH₂-NH₂), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 2.21 (s, 3H, CH₃Ac), 2.19 (s, 3H, CH₃Ac), 2.11 (s, 3H, CH₃Ac), 2.09 (s, 3H, CH₃ Ac), 1.74 -1.88 (m, 4H, CH₂), 1.56 -1.67 (m, 2H, CH₂); ¹³C-APT NMR (D2O, 150 MHz, HSQC) δ 176.7, 176.1, 175.7, 175.7, 175.4, 175.2, 175.1 (C=O Ac, COOH), 100.8 (C-1_{Man}), 100.5 (C-1_{Man}), 99.5 (C-1ⁿ), 97.9 $(C-1)$, 82.2 $(C-3)$, 79.5 $(C-5_{Man}, C-5_{Man})$, 73.5 $(C-3'')$, 72.7 $(C-5)$, 72.4 $(C-4_{Man})$, 72.2 $(C-2'')$, 71.8 $(C-5'')$, 69.6 $(C-4)$, 69.5 $(C-4'')$, 68.7 $(C-6'')$, 68.6 (O-CH₂) 67.5 (C-4_{Man}), 61.5 (C-6), 54.5 (C-3_{Man}), 54.4 (C-3_{Man}), 53.3 (C-2), 52.6 (C-2_{Man}), 51.9 (C-2_{Man}), 40.4 (CH₂-NH₂), 29.1, 27.5, 23.5 (CH₂), 22.9, 22.8, 22.7 (CH₃ Ac); ¹³C-HMBC (D₂O, 150 MHz) δ 100.8 (J_{C1,H1} = 162 Hz, C-1_{Man}), 100.5 (J_{C1,H1} = 164 Hz, C-1_{Man}), 99.5 $(J_{C1,H1} = 171 \text{ Hz}, C-1'')$, 97.9 $(J_{C1,H1} = 172 \text{ Hz}, C-1)$; HRMS $[M + H]$ ⁺ calcd for $C_{39}H_{65}N_6O_{23}$ 985.40956, found 985.41023.

'ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information. NMR spectra of low-temperature experiments and all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: marel_g@chem.leidenuniv.nl; jcodee@chem.leidenuniv.nl.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank C. Erkelens and F. Lefeber for their assistance with executing the NMR experiments. This research was supported by Top Institute Pharma and The Netherlands Organization of Scientific Research (NWO, Vidi grant). We thank Joris van Dam for the journal cover art.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) van den Bos, L. J.; Dinkelaar, J.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 13066-13067. (b) Codée, J. D. C.;

van den Bos, L. J.; de Jong, A.-R.; Dinkelaar, J.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 38–47. (c) Dinkelaar, J.; de Jong, A.-R.; van Meer, R.; Somers, M.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Codee, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 4982–4991.

(2) (a) Crich, D.; Sun, S. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4506–4507. (b) Crich, D.; Sun, S. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 8321–8348. (c) Crich, D.; Smith, M. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 4067–4069. (d) Crich, D.Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1144–1153.

(3) (a) Walvoort, M. T. C.; Lodder, G.; Mazurek, J.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Codée, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 12080–12081. (b) Walvoort, M. T. C.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Codée, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7990-8002.

(4) For reviews on oxacarbenium ion intermediates, see:(a) Walvoort, M. T. C.; Dinkelaar, J.; van den Bos, L. J.; Lodder, G.; Overkleeft, H. S.; Codée, J. D. C.; van der Marel, G. A. Carbohydr. Res. 2010, 345, 1252–1263. (b) Smith, D. M.; Woerpel, K. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 1195–1201. (c) Horenstein, N. A. Adv. Phys. Org. Chem. 2006, 41, 275–314. (d) Bohe, L.; Crich, D. C. R. Chimie 2011, 14, 3–16.

(5) (a) Ayala, L.; Lucero, C. G.; Romero, J. A. C.; Tabacco, S. A.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 15521–15528. (b) Romero, J. A. C.; Tabacco, S. A.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 122, 168–169. (c) Lucero, C. G.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 2641–2647.

(6) (a) Crich, D.; Li, L. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 1681–1690. (b) Crich, D.; Jayalath, P.; Hutton, T. K. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3064–3070.

(7) (a) Litjens, R. E. J. N.; Leeuwenburgh, M. A.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 8693-8696. (b) Codée, J. D. C.; Litjens, R. E. J. N.; den Heeten, R.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van Boom, J. H.; van der Marel, G. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1519–1522. (c) Litjens, R. E. J. N.; van den Bos, L. J.; Codee, J. D. C.; van den Berg, R. J. B. H. N.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 918–924.

(8) Szurmai, Z.; Rako, J.; Agoston, K.; Danan, A.; Charon, D. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 1839–1842.

(9) (a) Schäffer, C.; Messner, P. Microbiology 2005, 151, 643-651. (b) Knirel, Y. A.; Vinogradov, E. V.; Shashkov, A. S.; Dmitriev, B. A.; Kochetkov, N. K. Carbohydr. Res. 1982, 104, C4–C7.

(10) (a) Messner, P.; Sleytr, U. B.; Christian, R.; Schulz, G.; Unger, F. M. Carbohydr. Res. 1987, 168, 211-218. (b) Schäffer, C.; Kählig, H.; Christian, R.; Schultz, G.; Zayni, S.; Messner, P. Microbiology 1999, 145, 1575–1583.

(11) van den Bos, L. J.; Duivenvoorden, B. A.; de Koning, M. C.; Filippov, D. V.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 116–124.

(12) Baek, J. Y.; Lee, B.-Y.; Jo, M. G.; Kim, K. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 17705–17713.

(13) (a) Guthrie, R. D.; Murphy, D. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 6956–6960. (b) Kok, G. B.; Campbell, M.; Mackey, B. L.; von Itzstein, M. Carbohydr. Res. 2001, 332, 133–139. (c) Nilsson, M.; Norberg, T. Carbohydr. Res. 2000, 327, 261–267.

(14) Evans, M. E. Carbohydr. Res. 1972, 21, 473–475.

(15) As reported earlier, the byproduct resulting from β -elimination between C-3 and C-4 was also observed (approx. 10%). It was easily removed from the product by flash column chromatography.Krist, P.; Kuzma, M.; Pelyvás, I. F.; Simerská, P.; Křen, V. Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun. 2003, 68, 801–811.

(16) De Mico, A.; Margarita, R.; Parlanti, L.; Vescovi, A.; Piancatelli, G. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6974–6977.

(17) (a) van den Bos, L. J.; Codee, J. D. C.; van der Toorn, J. C.; Boltje, T. J.; van Boom, J. H.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2165–2168.(b) Walvoort, M. T. C.; Sail, D.; van der Marel, G. A.; Codée, J. D. C. Carbohydrate Chemistry: Proven Methods; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2011; Vol. 1, Chapter 11, p 99.

(18) (a) Codee, J. D. C.; van den Bos, L. J.; Litjens, R. E. J. N.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van Boom, J. H.; van der Marel, G. A. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 1947–1950. (b) van den Bos, L. J.; Litjens, R. E. J. N.; van den Berg, R. J. B. H. N.; Overkleeft, H. S.; van der Marel, G. A. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2007–2010.(c) Codee, J. D. C.; Boltje, T. J.; van der Marel, G. A. Carbohydrate Chemistry: Proven Methods; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2011; Vol. 1, Chapter 6, p 67.

(19) (a) Garcia, B. A.; Poole, J. L.; Gin, D. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 7597–7598. (b) Garcia, B. A.; Gin, D. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 4269–4279.

(20) In the case of benzylidene donor 7, TTBP (75 μ mol) was added.

(21) Hansch, C.; Leo, A.; Taft, R. W. Chem. Rev. 1991, 91, 165–195.

(22) Crich, D.; Xu, H. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5183–5192.

(23) (a) Crich, D.; Vinogradova, O. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 8473– 8480. (b) Crich, D.; Xu, H. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5183–5192.

(24) Eliel, E. L.; Wilen, S. H. Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1994.

(25) See for a review on glycosyl donors in "unusual" conformations: Pedersen, C. M.; Marinescu, L. G.; Bols, M. C. R. Chimie 2011, 14, 17–43.

(26) (a) Vasella, A.; Witzig, C.; Chiara, J. L.; Martín Lomas, M. Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 2073–2077. (b) Alper, P. B.; Hung, S. C.; Wong,

C.-H.Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6029–6032. (c) Yu, B.; Tao, H.Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 2405–2407.

(27) Park, J.; Kawatkar, S.; Kim, J.-H.; Boons, G.-J. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1959–1962.

(28) (a) Adinolfi, M.; Barone, G.; Iadonisi, A.; Shiattarella, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 5573–5577. (b) Adinolfi, M.; Iadonisi, A.; Schiattarella, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2003, 44, 6479–6482.

(29) Nelson, T. D.; Crouch, R. D. Synthesis 1996, 1031–1069.

(30) Amantini, D.; Fringuelli, F.; Vaccaro, L. Org. Prep. Proc. 2002, 34, 109–147.

(31) Larkin, A.; Olivier, N. B.; Imperiali, B. Biochemistry 2010, 49, 7227–7237.

(32) Rauter, A. P.; Oliveira, O.; Canda, T.; Leroi, E.; Ferreira, H.; Ferreira, M. J.; Ascenso, J. A. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2002, 21, 257–273.

(33) Raaijmakers, H. W. C.; Zwanenburg, B.; Chittenden, G. J. F. Carbohydr. Res. 1993, 238, 185–192.

(34) Tamura, K.; Mizukami, H.; Maeda, K.; Watanabe, H.; Uneyama, K. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 32–35.